Effects of the midnight temperature maximum observed in the thermosphere–ionosphere over the northeast of Brazil
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Abstract. The midnight temperature maximum (MTM) has been observed in the lower thermosphere by two Fabry–Pérot interferometers (FPIs) at São João do Cariri (7.4° S, 36.5° W) and Cajazeiras (6.9° S, 38.6° W) during 2011, when the solar activity was moderate and the solar flux was between 90 and 155 SFU (1 SFU = 10^{-22} W m^{-2} Hz^{-1}). The MTM is studied in detail using measurements of neutral temperature, wind and airglow relative intensity of OI630.0 nm (referred to as OI6300), and ionospheric parameters, such as virtual height (h′F), the peak height of the F2 region (hmF2), and critical frequency of the F region (foF2), which were measured by a Digisonde instrument (DPS) at Eusébio (3.9° S, 38.4° W; geomagnetic coordinates 7.31° S, 32.40° E for 2011). The MTM peak was observed mostly along the year, except in May, June, and August. The amplitudes of the MTM varied from 64 ± 46 K in April up to 144 ± 48 K in October. The monthly temperature average showed a phase shift in the MTM peak around 0.25 h in September to 2.5 h in December before midnight. On the other hand, in February, March, and April the MTM peak occurred around midnight. International Reference Ionosphere 2012 (IRI-2012) model was compared to the neutral temperature observations and the IRI-2012 model failed in reproducing the MTM peaks. The zonal component of neutral wind flowed eastward the whole night; regardless of the month and the magnitude of the zonal wind, it was typically within the range of 50 to 150 m s^{-1} during the early evening. The meridional component of the neutral wind changed its direction over the months: from November to February, the meridional wind in the early evening flowed equatorward with a magnitude between 25 and 100 m s^{-1}; in contrast, during the winter months, the meridional wind flowed to the pole within the range of 0 to −50 m s^{-1}. Our results indicate that the reversal (changes in equator to poleward flow) or abatement of the meridional winds is an important factor in the MTM generation. From February to April and from September to December, the h′F and the hmF2 showed an increase around 18:00–20:00 LT within a range between 300 and 550 km and reached a minimal height of about 200–300 km close to midnight; then the layer rose again by about 40 km or, sometimes, remained at constant height. Furthermore, during the winter months, the h′F and the hmF2 showed a different behavior; the signature of the pre-reversal enhancement did not appear as in other months and the heights did not exceed 260 and 350 km. Our observation indicated that the midnight collapse of the F region was a consequence of the MTM in the meridional wind that was reflected in the height of the F region. Lastly, the behavior of the OI6300 showed, from February to April and from September to December, an increase in intensity around midnight or 1 h before, which was associated with the MTM, whereas, from May to August, the relative intensity was more intense in the early evening and decayed during the night.
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1 Introduction

The tendency of the nighttime thermospheric temperature is to decrease. However, in the equatorial region around midnight the temperature increases by 50–200 K (e.g., characteristics observed at Arequipa, Peru, 16.2° S; 71.5° W; Faivre et al., 2006). This phenomenon is called the midnight temperature maximum (MTM) and has been the object of study since the 1970s through several instruments of observation, which are detailed in the review by Herrero et al. (1993).

The MTM signature is described by Behnke and Harper (1973) in the F region. Using an incoherent backscatter radar at Arecibo (18.47° N; −66.72° W), they showed that the decrease in the height of the F region was caused by the meridional wind. They also noted meridional wind flowing equatorward before midnight. Then the meridional wind diminishes and often reverses direction causing the downward motion of the F region (referred to as midnight collapse). Studies made in the Indian sector by Sastri and Rao (1994) and Sastri et al. (1994) found the same relationship among the MTM, thermospheric meridional wind, and F region height motion near midnight as observed by Behnke and Harper (1973) at Arecibo.

The airglow signature of the MTM is described by Colerico et al. (1996) and Burnside et al. (1981). They observed that when the equatorward meridional wind reverses or there is an abatement of the flow, the F region is drifted to lower heights, increasing the dissociative recombination of OI6300 (Link and Cogger, 1988).

The current understanding of the formation of the MTM is due to the results of the Whole Atmosphere Model (WAM) (Akmaev et al., 2009, 2010) and the National Center for Atmospheric Research Thermosphere Ionosphere Mesosphere Energetics Global Circulation Model (TIMEGCM) (Ma et al., 2010) that confirmed the theoretical explanation of MTM presented by Mayr et al. (1979) and Herrero et al. (1983). The formation of the MTM starts from the day–night pressure gradient that produces an eastward zonal wind toward the night terminator, combined with an upward propagation tidal meridional wind and thermospheric tidal wind produced in situ by EUV radiation at a subsolar point in the equatorial region, the so-called pressure bulge, and supports the development of a hydrostatic expansion. The hydrostatic expansion reverses the direction of the meridional wind to poles. This reversion causes the midnight collapse of the F region and the increase in the OI6300 relative intensity (Meriwether et al., 2011).

Biondi and Sipler (1985) made the first measurements of thermospheric wind and temperature in Brazil from August to September 1982. Then, Sahai et al. (1992) and Batista et al. (1997) studied the relation between the wind and the MTM at São José dos Campos (23.2° S, 45° W) and Cachoeira Paulista (22.5° S, 45.0° W). Twenty years later, the project entitled RENOIR (Makela et al., 2009) installed two Fabry–Pérot interferometers, an imaging system, and a GPS receiver at São João do Cariri (7.4° S, 36.5° W) and Cajazeiras (6.9° S, 38.6° W) in order to study the equatorial thermosphere–ionosphere coupling. Several papers have been published: Chapagain et al. (2012) studied the relationship between Plasma bubbles and neutral wind; Makela et al. (2013) and Fisher et al. (2015) studied the neutral wind associated with the solar activity; Meriwether et al. (2011, 2013) compared the neutral temperature and wind to the recent model predictions.

The nighttime climatology of the MTM in the equatorial region is reported in the present work, based on Fabry–Pérot interferometer and Digisonde data from February to December 2011. The main goal of this study is to analyze the influences of the neutral winds along with the height and critical frequency of the F region on the development and dynamics of the MTM.

2 Instrumentation and observations

In this work, two Fabry–Pérot interferometers (FPIs), located at Cajazeiras and São João do Cariri, were used to measure thermospheric winds, temperature, and relative intensity of the OI6300 emission at ~ 250 km height with an accuracy of 5–10 m s⁻¹ and 20 K (Makela et al., 2009). Figure 1 shows the location of the observatories.

Each FPI consists of a 50 mm diameter interference filter with a 42 mm diameter etalon having a fixed-gap spacing of 1.5 cm. The reflectivity of the etalon coating was specified to be 77% to enhance the transmission of the OI6300 emission without much loss of spectral resolution. A 30 cm focal length lens images 11.7 rings of the interference pattern onto an Andor Technology DU-434 CCD camera using a 1024 × 1024 CCD chip, with each square pixel having a dimension of 13 µm × 13 µm. The angular field of view for the outermost ring of the observed ring pattern is approximately 1.8° (Meriwether et al., 2011; Makela et al., 2011).

In order to measure the airglow in a given region in the sky (zenith and geographic north, south, east, and west) with an elevation angle of 45°, a SkyScanner is placed above the FPI optics. The SkyScanner is a dual-mirror system controlled by two Animatics SmartMotors; one mirror rotates to vary the elevation angle and the other to change the azimuth angle. With this geometry of observation, the whole sky can be covered.

The absolute coordinate calibration of each axis is determined by using ephemeris of celestial objects (Sun, Moon, stars or planets) as the reference. An absolute pointing accuracy of approximately 0.2° is typically achieved. More details of the instruments and analysis procedure used to estimate neutral winds can be found in Meriwether et al. (2011) and Makela et al. (2011).

The nighttime thermospheric winds are determined from the estimation of the Doppler shifts in the observed OI6300 interference pattern image.
Table 1 also shows the averaged database for 11 months of observation, from February to December 2011.

The FPI flux index and number of observed nights (temperature or wind) which were used in the monthly averages. The FPI was used in this work. A total of 257 nights was included in the measurements shown in Table 1 were obtained during the period from low to moderate solar activity based on the solar flux index, which ranged from \( \sim 83 \) SFU, in February, to \( \sim 141 \) SFU in December (1 SFU = \( 10^{-22} \) W m\(^{-2}\) Hz\(^{-1}\)). Table 1 shows the local time of the MTM peak and the respective amplitude relative to the shifted average International Reference Ionosphere 2012 (IRI-2012) temperature computed for each month (details on the next section).

The neutral temperature in all five directions (zenith, north, south, east and west) was averaged into half-hour bins for each month. The winds in the plots were classified into meridional and zonal components.

These operational modes were presented and discussed by Makela et al. (2013). Cardinal mode sets the FPI to look in the cardinal directions and measures the neutral wind and temperature. On the other hand, the CV mode sets both FPIs to look at the same point in the sky in three different views: north CV, inline CV, and south CV. It also measures the components of the wind and temperature as well. In order to increase the amount of data and, therefore, calculate the monthly average, the meridional and zonal wind components are defined as the zonal component (cardinal east and west and CV zonal component) and the meridional component (cardinal north and south and CV meridional component). It was assumed that the variation in wind and temperatures regarding the observation modes and distances between stations (\( \sim 230 \) km) does not interfere with the calculation of the averages.

The weighted standard deviation was calculated for each half-hour bin, and they were associated with the statistical uncertainties of the method of analysis (Taylor, 1997). These uncertainties were inserted as error bars in the figures below; further details can be found in Makela et al. (2011, 2013).

The Digisonde continuously operated during 365 days in 2011. The temporal resolution of the measurements was 10 min. Half-hour bins were used to average the measurements of the ionospheric parameters. The standard deviation of the averaged data in each half-hour bin was used as the uncertainty of the averages.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Identification of the MTM in the neutral and ionospheric parameters

In order to clarify the signature of the MTM in the ionospheric and neutral parameters, Fig. 2 shows FPI and ionosonde measurements for two days: 26 June 2011 (without MTM) and 10 February 2011 (with MTM). On 10 February 2011 it was observed that the MTM causes a decrease in the velocities of the meridional and zonal wind component (Fig. 2a and b, right-hand panels) that propagate northward and eastward, respectively. Then, the F region started to decrease and reach the minimum height at about 200 km when...
Table 1. Summary of observations made with the FPIs. NA means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of nights</th>
<th>Averages of $F_{10.7}$ (SFU)</th>
<th>MTM time (LT)</th>
<th>MTM amplitude (K)</th>
<th>IRI shift (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 2011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2011</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>23:47</td>
<td>77 $\pm$ 32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>155.8</td>
<td>24:10</td>
<td>69 $\pm$ 31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>23:47</td>
<td>64 $\pm$ 46</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$-33$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$-78$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>21:40</td>
<td>65 $\pm$ 34</td>
<td>$-90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$-90$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>23:45</td>
<td>65 $\pm$ 38</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2011</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>149.37</td>
<td>23:30</td>
<td>144 $\pm$ 48</td>
<td>$-87$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2011</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>153.46</td>
<td>23:00</td>
<td>83 $\pm$ 27</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2011</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>21:50</td>
<td>72 $\pm$ 57</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MTM reaches the maximum peak (Fig. 2c). Lastly, an increase in the relative intensity of the OI6300 (Fig. 2d) and in the $f_0F_2$ (Fig. 2c) was observed. On the other hand, on 26 June 2011, the MTM was not observed and the dynamic of the wind is totally different: the meridional wind flows poleward and the zonal wind velocity is not fast when compared to the day with MTM (10 February 2011). The behavior of the wind components did not cause the collapse of the F region and did not alter the relative intensity and the critical frequency of the F2 region.

Therefore, changes in the thermospheric meridional and zonal wind components affect the height of the F region and these changes affect the intensity of OI6300 associated with MTM. In sequence, we will describe and discuss these effects and the interplay of MTM for each neutral and ionospheric parameter through monthly averages.

3.2 Neutral temperature and wind

It is well known that the thermospheric neutral temperature decreases over night, as demonstrated in the neutral temperature model, IRI-2012 (Bilitza and Reinisch, 2008), shown in Fig. 3 (red line). However, during some months of the year, the observed neutral temperature (Fig. 3, black line) increases near midnight when compared to the empirical IRI-2012 model (e.g., February). This anomalous behavior in the neutral temperature is known as MTM.

The IRI-2012 model does not reproduce the observed behavior of the MTM in temperature. This suggests that the model does not use temperature data observed from the equatorial region in its calculation.

The methodology applied to identify the MTM consists in comparing the observed temperature to the results modeled using IRI-2012. Initially, the modeled temperature was matched in the early evening (19:00–21:00 LT) with the observed temperature by applying a constant offset, as described by Meriwether et al. (2011). The magnitudes of these shifts are given in Table 1.

The MTM features, like shape, amplitude, and time of occurrence, are highly variable over a short period (day to day) as well as a long period (year to year, season to season, etc.) (Spencer et al., 1979; Bamgboye and McClure, 1982; Herrero and Spencer, 1982; Herrero et al., 1993; Emmert et al., 2006; Meriwether et al., 2011; Makela et al., 2013; Fisher et al., 2015; Tesema et al., 2017). The MTM peak is clearly observed during the whole year, except in May, June, and August. The amplitude of the MTM varies from $64 \pm 46$ K in April up to $144 \pm 48$ K in October.

The monthly temperature averages show a phase shift in the MTM peak of approximately 0.25 h in September to 2 h in December, before midnight. On the other hand, in February, March, and April the MTM peak occurs around midnight. The present results agree with the work done by Meriwether et al. (2011), which analyzed neutral temperature data for conditions of minimum solar activity during 2009 at Cajazeiras.

The present and previous studies (Meriwether et al., 2011) carried out in the Brazilian northeast presents MTM amplitude between 40 and 144 K, values that corroborate measurements made in the Peruvian (Meriwether, 2006; Faire et al., 2006; Bamgboye and McClure, 1982) and equatorial East African longitude (Tesema et al., 2017) sectors, which present values between 50 and 200 K and between 30 and 110 K, respectively. Studies made in the Indian sector by Sasstri et al. (1994) and Sastri and Rao (1994) found that the MTM amplitudes between 80 and 570 K, which are higher than the Peruvian, equatorial East African longitude, and Brazilian sectors.

The comparison of the temperature and the neutral meridional wind during the year (Figs. 4 and 5) reveals that the peak velocity flowing to the equator, approximately precedes the occurrence of MTM, or, in other words, the maximum in temperature occurs just after an equatorward peak in the meridional wind component, as can be seen in February to April and October to December. Otherwise, in winter months, the meridional wind flows to the pole and the peak of
MTM is not well pronounced. Fesen (1996) showed, by using the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Thermosphere Ionosphere Electrodynamics General Circulation Model (TIEGCM), that the vertical propagation of the semidiurnal tide modes (2, 2) and (2, 3) interact with each other in summer (MTM is well pronounced) but not in winter (MTM is not well pronounced). It is well known that the meridional wind is very important to understand the equatorial thermosphere–ionosphere dynamic. For example, the meridional wind flowing to the equator is one of the mechanisms for the generation of MTM (Meriwether et al., 1986).

One can note that the minimum in meridional wind speed is around the MTM peak. The fact that the meridional wind flows equatorward is attributed to the combination of semidiurnal tides with higher-order harmonics (Akmaev et al., 2009). Meriwether et al. (2011) suggests that the location of the pressure bulge in the summer (from November to February) is due to the damping in the meridional wind before midnight. The pressure bulge is theoretically defined as the result of a nonlinear interaction in situ between the modulation of the diurnal electron density forced by EUV radiation absorption and higher tidal modes of the thermospheric winds (Herrero and Meriwether, 1980; Mayr et al., 1979).

In the winter solstice (from May to August), the pressure bulge is shifted to the north in subsolar latitude, and a decrease in the trans-hemispheric meridional wind around occurs midnight, as can be observed in Fig. 4. However, during the winter months we cannot observe the MTM peaks when compared with summer months. This is likely due to the poleward meridional wind propagation.

In general, the zonal wind (Fig. 5) flows eastward during the whole night, regardless of the month, as already observed by Meriwether et al. (2011). However, the zonal wind leaves a signature around midnight characterized by the occurrence of a minimum speed. According to Herrero et al. (1993) and Wharton et al. (1984), this minimum speed is associated with the passage of the pressure bulge from the equator to poles.

Meriwether et al. (2013) compared neutral temperature measurements observed by FPI to the Whole Atmosphere Model (WAM) (Akmaev et al., 2009). They observed that the WAM model predicts MTM peaks from 50 to 100 K in agreement with the observed FPI data. However, the model shows MTM peaks during the winter that were not evident in the neutral temperature. The WAM simulations have confirmed that the semidiurnal tide is a fundamental parameter to

---

**Figure 2.** The meridional (a) and zonal (b) component of thermospheric neutral winds, \( f_{0}F2 \) (c), \( h'F \) (e), \( hmF2 \) (e), relative intensity of OI6300 (d); the bottom panel (e) shows thermospheric neutral temperature with the averaged IRI-2012 curves, shifted to match the observed temperatures in the early evening. All these parameters were plotted for days without (26 June 2011) and with (10 February 2011) MTM. Positive values are northward and eastward. LT is UT – 3.
Figure 3. Monthly averaged temperature observed from February to December 2011 (black triangles). The averaged IRI curves, shifted to match the observed temperatures in the early evening (red squares).

Figure 4. Monthly average of the meridional component of thermospheric neutral wind. Positive values are northward. LT is UT – 3.
Figure 5. Monthly average of the zonal component of thermospheric neutral wind. Positive values are eastward. LT is UT – 3.

realistically describe the MTM (Mayr et al., 1979; Akmaev et al., 2008, 2009).

3.3 Height of the F region and $\mathit{foF2}$

Monthly averages of ionosonde data from a low-latitude station were also used to study the vertical movement of the F region with values of the ionospheric parameters such as $h^{'F}$, $\mathit{hmF2}$, and $\mathit{foF2}$, which are shown in Fig. 6, in order to show the signature of the MTM peak in the ionospheric parameters. Note that the data are plotted between 12:00 and 04:00 LT, to show the behavior of the F region during the transition between day and night, e.g., the pre-reversal enhancement (PRE), and to verify whether it is related to the MTM phenomenon. The PRE is basically defined as an enhancement of the F region zonal electric field that occurs after the sunset due to the development of the F region dynamo. The enhanced eastward electric field is responsible for the enhancement in F region vertical plasma drift observed at equatorial and low-latitude stations; more details are found in Rishbeth (1971). However, when the PRE was compared to the MTM peaks, no clear correlation was observed between them and they will not be discussed further.

From February to April and from September to December, the $h^{'F}$ and the $\mathit{hmF2}$ shows an increase at about 18:00–20:00 LT within a range between 300 and 550 km; it reaches its minimal height at about 200–300 km close to midnight; then the layer rises again, by about 40 km, or sometimes remains at constant height. It is important to note that the minimum height starts at 23:00 LT in February and it happened later, around 24:00 LT, in April. On the other hand, in September the minimum height occurred around 24:00 LT, and in December it was around 22:45 LT. These characteristics showing seasonality on the minimum height are in agreement with the seasonality of the MTM described by Herrero and Spencer (1982). The authors attributed this variation on time to semidiurnal and high-order tides that are enhanced in summer; thus, these tides make the nighttime temperature oscillates more rapidly in summer than in winter.

Furthermore, from May to August, the $h^{'F}$ and $\mathit{hmF2}$ showed a different behavior; the signature of the PRE in the $h^{'F}$ and the $\mathit{hmF2}$ heights did not appear as in other months, and the height does not exceed 260 and 350 km. Batista et al. (1986) showed that, during the winter, the signature of the PRE in the F region height occurs later, around 20:00 LT, and presents a small vertical drift displacement for this period (around 20 m s$^{-1}$). The behavior of the F region observed in the Brazilian sector during the winter corroborates studies using data obtained in the Indian sector (e.g., Niranjan et al., 2006; Srirama Rao et al., 1991).

The midnight collapse of the F region is an MTM consequence in the meridional wind that is reflected in the height of the F region. It is often observed at low latitudes, such as in Arecibo (Nelson and Cogger, 1971; Behnke and Harper, 1973; Burnside et al., 1981, 1983) and the Indian sector (Sastri et al., 1994; Sastri and Rao, 1994). Similar characteristics were also observed in the present study. Behnke and Harper...
(1973) and Harper (1973) demonstrated that the cause of the decrease in the F region was the propagation of the pressure bulge that produced the reversal in the thermospheric meridional wind component. Herrero et al. (1993) perceived that just a simple meridional wind abatement is necessary for the collapse to occur. Therefore, the variation in the meridional wind influences the morphology of the F region due to latitudinal and longitudinal displacement of the bulge pressure, corroborating our data.

Furthermore, the zonal wind is an important contributor for the height of the F region due to the large value of the magnetic declination at São João do Cariri and Cajazeiras (~22° W for 2011). Thus, the zonal wind can play a leading role in the understanding of ionospheric equatorial processes that influences the ion drag and vertical plasma motion (Anderson and Roble, 1981, 1974). This is because the zonal wind acts in the F region dynamo and may drive Pedersen currents favoring the appearance of a vertical polarization electric field that forces the plasma flows with the same velocity of the neutral wind (Rishbeth, 1971; Biondi et al., 1991).

Regarding the critical frequency (also shown in Fig. 6), from February to April and from September to December, there were small increments during the afternoon before the signature of the PRE in the F region heights. During the signature of the PRE in the F region heights, the foF2 diminished a little (as expected from the equatorial fountain effect, which transports ionization from equatorial to low latitudes); afterwards, it increased again with the maximum of one or 2 h before midnight. Sometimes this second maximum is bigger than the first one. Lastly, the foF2 decreases after the second maximum and reaches the minimum values of about 4–6 MHz. From May to August, the foF2 shows a small increment reaching the maximum around 16:00–18:00 LT; then, it decreases to the minimum values (2–4 MHz) at dusk.

From May to August, it was observed that foF2 decreases after 18:00 LT, and the parameters $h'F$ and $HmF2$ were between 200 and 300 km of altitude. This behavior is to be expected because the rate of loss of electronic density is high for altitudes between 200 and 300 km (Liu et al., 2013). On the other hand, from September to December and in February there was a small decrease in foF2 in the PRE period, which, for a short period of time, returned to growth. As suggested by Liu et al. (2013), this behavior during the PRE period is due to the upward vertical drift that pushes the plasma to high altitudes where the recombination rate is insignificant, whereas in low altitudes the electronic density decreases significantly.

During March and April the foF2 decreased after the PRE period and near midnight a small enhancement appeared, i.e., a combination of the characteristics presented from May to August with the characteristics presented from September to December and February, suggesting that there is a precondition required for the enhancement of the foF2 after the PRE period. Liu et al. (2013) associated the increase in foF2 after the PRE period to the westward electric field inducing vertical drift, concluding that downward drift is essential for the increase in the second peak of foF2. This increase occurs af-

---

**Figure 6.** Monthly average of the minimum virtual height of the F region ($h'F$ – orange square), the critical frequency of F2 region (foF2 – black circle) and the height maximum of the F2 region ($HmF2$ – blue triangle). LT is UT − 3.
ter midnight at Sanya, China (18.24° N; 109.50° E), and at Eusébio it occurs before midnight.

3.4 Relative intensity

Figure 7 shows the relative intensity of atomic oxygen emission (OI6300) observed in 2011. From February to April and from September to December, the behavior shows an increase in intensity around midnight or 1 h before, whereas, from May to August, the relative intensity is at a maximum in the early evening and decays during the night.

The dominant ion in the F region is the O+, and its recombination rate is low during the night, whereas the height of the F region is kept constant (Cogger et al., 1974). When the meridional and zonal wind push down the F region in subtropical latitudes due to the pressure bulge associated with MTM for regions with high recombination ($h \leq 300$ km), the airglow emission will increase (Colerico et al., 1996).

It is possible to observe this behavior in the airglow associated with the midnight collapse of the F region in the summer and some equinox months observed in Fig. 6. The low values of relative intensity of OI6300 at the beginning and the end of the night are associated with the well-known increase in the F region vertical drift (PRE) and with low density, respectively. During the winter, the relative intensity decreases throughout the night because of little variation in height as explained in the previous section.

4 Summary

In summary, we have presented a comprehensive data set of measurements from February to December 2011 of the thermospheric neutral winds and temperatures using two Fabry–Pérot interferometers and $h'F$, $foF2$, and $hmF2$ measured by a Digisonde, which operated in the Brazilian northeast during the increasing phase of the solar cycle.

The present study showed an MTM amplitude between 40 and 144 K; these values were similar to other observations in the South American sector and differ from the Indian Sector. The MTM also brought a specific signature in the neutral wind, $h'F$, $foF2$, $hmF2$, and relative intensity of OI6300 to the equinoxes and summer solstice months as follows. The meridional and zonal neutral wind velocities decrease, arising from pressure bulge. Then the F region reaches the minimum height, and the relative intensity and $foF2$ increases. In contrast, during the winter, the MTM peak is not clearly evident. This may be due to the dynamics of the wind; the meridional wind does not flow toward the equator and the speed of the zonal wind is smaller than in the summer months. As a result the midnight collapse of the F region does not occur and the relative intensity of OI6300 decreases during the night.

The measurements of thermospheric neutral wind and temperature and ionospheric parameters observed in this study showed the importance of the midnight pressure bulge on the modification of the nighttime equatorial thermosphere.
and support the MTM. Moreover, this work shows that the IRI-2012 model does not reproduce the MTM peaks in the equatorial region.
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