Atmospheric CO$_2$ source and sink patterns over the Indian region
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Abstract. In this paper we examine CO$_2$ emission hot spots and sink regions over India as identified from global model simulations during the period 2000–2009. CO$_2$ emission hot spots overlap with locations of densely clustered thermal power plants, coal mines and other industrial and urban centres; CO$_2$ sink regions coincide with the locations of dense forest. Fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions are compared with two bottom-up inventories: the Regional Emission inventories in ASia (REAS v1.11; 2000–2009) and the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v4.2) (2000–2009). Estimated fossil fuel emissions over the hot spot region are $\sim$500–950 gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ as obtained from the global model simulation, EDGAR v4.2 and REAS v1.11 emission inventory. Simulated total fluxes show increasing trends, from $1.39 \pm 1.01 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ ($19.8 \pm 1.9$ TgC yr$^{-1}$) to $6.7 \pm 0.54 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ ($97 \pm 12$ TgC yr$^{-1}$) over the hot spot regions and decreasing trends of $-0.95 \pm 1.51 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ ($-1 \pm 2$ TgC yr$^{-1}$) to $-5.7 \pm 2.89 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ ($-2.3 \pm 2$ TgC yr$^{-1}$) over the sink regions. Model-simulated terrestrial ecosystem fluxes show decreasing trends (increasing CO$_2$ uptake) over the sink regions. Decreasing trends in terrestrial ecosystem fluxes imply that forest cover is increasing, which is consistent with India State of Forest Report (2009). Fossil fuel emissions show statistically significant increasing trends in all the data sets considered in this study. Estimated trend in simulated total fluxes over the Indian region is $4.72 \pm 2.25 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ ($25.6$ TgC yr$^{-1}$) which is slightly higher than global growth rate $3.1 \%$ yr$^{-1}$ during 2000–2010.
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1 Introduction

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is regarded as one of the main greenhouse gases that cause global warming and force climate change. The present CO$_2$ increase is attributed to anthropogenic emissions which directly contribute to global warming (IPCC, 2007). Since the pre-industrial era atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations have increased by $\sim 40 \%$ (Hungershofer et al., 2010) of which the major part is from fossil fuel combustion. The major source of CO$_2$ is fossil fuels combustion. The other sources are land use changes, the ocean and animal respiration, while it is removed by plants through photosynthesis, dissolution in the ocean and by carbon deposition (Peters et al., 2007). On the regional scale there is tremendous diversity in CO$_2$ sources and sinks due to varied anthropogenic activity and ecosystems. The industrialization of eastern Asia has influenced the chemical climate of India through transport processes. Also, rapid economic growth, industrialization, growing cities, increasing traffic and higher levels of energy consumption have led to higher emissions of greenhouse gases in India (INCCA, 2010). Pockets of heavy pollution are being created by emissions from major industrial zones and megacities where vehicle numbers are rapidly increasing (Garg et al., 2001). The high influx of population to urban areas, increase in consumption patterns and unplanned urban and industrial development contribute to increasing CO$_2$ emissions. Electricity generation is the largest producer of CO$_2$ emissions. Power plants, most notably coal-fired ones, are among the largest CO$_2$ emitters (DoE and EPA, 2000). Coal-based power plants in India account for about 53 % of installed capacity and contribute to more than 60 % of electricity generation (Behera et al., 2011). Coal is the mainstay of the Indian energy sector.
and contributes almost 73% of total CO₂ emissions (Garg et al., 2001). It can be expected that CO₂ emissions from coal-fired power plants will continue for many decades – probably with significantly increased emissions as the construction of coal-fired power plants is accelerating rapidly in India (Shindell and Faluvegi, 2010). Garg et al. (2001) reported that anthropogenic CO₂ emissions over India increased by 186 Tg during the period 1990–1995. They reported a direct correlation between coal consumption and CO₂ emissions over Indian districts. Between 1950 and 2008, India experienced a dramatic growth in fossil fuel CO₂ emissions, averaging 5.7% a year, and became the world’s third largest fossil fuel CO₂-emitting country (IEA, 2011).

As the distribution of atmospheric CO₂ reflects both spatial and temporal evolutions as well as the magnitude of surface fluxes (Tans et al., 1990), it is essential to know its sources and sinks, their spatial distribution and variability in time. There are top-down and bottom-up approaches to estimate carbon sources and sinks. In a top-down approach land–air surface flux distributions are identified by inverse modelling of atmospheric transport using CO₂ concentration observations (Rayner et al., 1999; Bousquet et al., 2000; Gurney et al., 2002; Rödenbeck et al., 2003). The bottom-up approach combines local information on the location and activity of the sources and the corresponding emission factors, for each emission sector (e.g. road transport, industry, power generation, residential heating, etc.).

The knowledge of spatio-temporal variability of CO₂ surface fluxes is essential as transported CO₂ alters the atmospheric heating and thereby the circulation patterns and hydrological cycle. Several model simulation studies have analysed the influence of increased CO₂ future scenarios on monsoon dynamics and precipitation (Cherchi et al., 2011; May 2011; Meehl and Washington, 1993; Meehl et al., 2000). Furthermore, other studies have shown that tropospheric CO₂ concentrations can be influenced by the strength of the monsoon and El Niño circulations (Mandal et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2010; Schuck et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011). Tiwari et al. (2011) and Bhattacharya et al. (2009) have shown effects of the Indian summer monsoon on the distribution of atmospheric CO₂. Latha and Murthy (2012) reported the variation of CO₂ during the pre-monsoon season.

Studies related to the variability of CO₂ fluxes over the Indian region are sparse. A regional assessment of greenhouse gases including CO₂ emissions from different sectors (e.g. electric power generation, industries, road, other transport etc.) in India during the period 1990–1995 has been conducted by Garg et al. (2001). They reported that CO₂ emissions from the largest emitters were increased by 8.1% in 1995 with respect to 1990. Coal-based thermal plants were identified as major emitters. Later, Garg et al. (2006) estimated sectoral trends in greenhouse gases over India for the period 1985–2005. CO₂ removal by land-use and land-use change activities were not considered. Their emissions inventory showed that the power generation share in India’s CO₂ emissions increased from 33 to 52% during the period 1985–2005 and that the majority of it comes from coal and lignite consumption.

As shown above, the spatio-temporal variation of CO₂ sources and sinks over the Indian region have not yet been addressed. Hence in this study we study CO₂ sources and sinks over the Indian region. CO₂ hot spot and sink regions are identified from Carbon Tracker (CT-2010) fluxes for the latest decade (2000–2009) over the Indian land mass (8–40° N, 60–100° E). Long-term trends are estimated over the hot spots and sink regions. Trends in fossil fuel emissions are compared with two bottom-up emission inventories: the Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS v1.11) for the period 2000–2009 and the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v4.2) for the period 2000–2009. The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 gives details of the CT-2010 data, REAS v1.11, EDGAR v4.2 emission inventories and methods of analysis used in this study. Section 3 illustrates CO₂ emission hot spots and sinks as identified from CT-2010 fluxes. The regional distribution of possible sources and sinks is discussed. CT-2010 fossil fuel emissions are compared with REAS v1.11 and EDGAR v4.2 emission inventories. Trend estimates obtained from CT-2010, REASv1.11 and EDGAR v4.2 are also discussed. A summary of the results obtained in this study and final conclusions are given in Sect. 4.

The fossil fuel emission inventory used in Carbon Tracker is derived from independent global total and spatially resolved inventories. Data on annual global total fossil fuel CO2 emissions are from the Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center (CDIAC) (Boden et al., 2011), and extend through 2007. In order to extrapolate these fluxes to 2008 and 2009, relative increases for each fuel type (solid, liquid and gas) in each country from the BP Statistical Review of World Energy for 2008 and 2009 is estimated. The spatial distributions of CO2 fluxes are obtained in two steps: First, the coarse scale flux distribution country totals from Boden et al. (2011) are mapped onto a 1° × 1° grid. Then the country totals are distributed within the countries according to the spatial patterns from the EDGAR-v4.2 inventories (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001), which are annual estimates also at 1° × 1° resolution. The biosphere model CASA calculates global carbon fluxes using input from weather models to drive biophysical processes, as well as the satellite-observed Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) to track plant phenology. The CASA model output used was driven by year-specific weather and satellite observations, and including the effects of fires on photosynthesis and respiration (van der Werf et al., 2006; Giglio et al., 2006). This simulation gives 1° × 1° global fluxes on a monthly time resolution. In this work, we use fluxes from CT-2010 at 1° × 1° resolution for the period 2000–2009. CO2 fluxes are taken from the Carbon Tracker web site (ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/products/carbontracker/co2/CT2010/).

To analyse the reliability of CT-2010 data over the Indian region, we compare CT-2010 concentrations with surface measurements over the Indian station Cape Rama (15.08° N, 73.83° E) since CO2 flux measurements are not available. CO2 measurements over Cape Rama are taken from http://ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdegg/.

Figure 1 shows the temporal variation of CT-2010 CO2 concentrations at 1012 hPa (at the grid centred at 15.08° N, 73.83° E) and CO2 measurements at surface over Cape Rama (15.08° N, 73.83° E) from 1 September 2000 to 15 October 2002 and 1 July 2009 to 26 December 2010. CO2 measurements are not available during the period 16 October 2002 to 30 June 2009. CT-2010 CO2 concentrations and measurements at Cape Rama are presented in Fig. 1 at intervals of 15 days. CT-2010 concentrations are plotted at the same time. Figure 1 shows the good agreement of Carbon Tracker measurements with observations, which gives us some confidence on the ability of CT-2010 to determine CO2 fluxes, even if it does not use any observational CO2 data over India.

The CT-2010 fossil fuel emissions are compared with emission obtained from bottom-up inventories: EDGAR v4.2 and the REAS v1.11. The EDGAR v4.2 emissions (excluding short-cycle organic carbon from biomass burning) for the period 2000–2009 at the resolution 0.1 × 0.1 are obtained from http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v= _42 and the REAS v1.11 emissions (fossil and biofuel combustion and industrial sources) at the 0.5 × 0.5 resolution are obtained from (http://www.jamstec.go.jp/frsgc/research/ d4/emission.htm).

REAS v1.11 historical emissions for the period 2000–2003 and predicted emissions for the period 2004–2009 are combined to obtain emissions for the study period. A detailed description of REAS v1.11 and EDGARv4.2 emission inventories is given by Ohara et al. (2007) and JRC/PBL (2012) respectively.

A regression analysis was applied to monthly mean fossil fuel emissions, net terrestrial ecosystem fluxes and total (fossil fuel emission + net terrestrial ecosystem + fire emissions) CO2 fluxes as obtained from CT-2010 (2000–2009) to estimate trends. We use a regression model described in detail by Fadnavis et al. (2006) and Randel and Cobb (1994). The general expression for the regression model equation can be written as follows:

\[
\theta(t, z) = \alpha(z) + \beta(t) + \text{resid}(t).
\]

where \(\alpha(z)\) and \(\beta(t)\) are the time-dependent 12-month seasonal trend coefficients and \(\text{resid}(t)\) represents the residues. To fit seasonal variability, the coefficients \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) in Eq. (1) all have the form \(\alpha = (A_1 + A_2 \cos \omega t + A_3 \sin \omega t + A_4 \cos 2\omega t + A_5 \sin 2\omega t + A_6 \cos 3\omega t + A_7 \sin 3\omega t)\), with \(\omega = 2\pi 12\) months\(^{-1}\). There are
seven parameters to be determined for each term in Eq. (1). It should be noted that the trend term in Eq. (1) is implicitly nonlinear. Further details of seasonally varying trend detection are given by Randel and Cobb (1994).

Trends are also estimated from yearly fossil fuel emission data obtained from REASv1.11 and EDGARv4.2 time series. Trends estimates from CT-2010 fossil fuel emissions are compared with trends obtained from EDGAR v4.2 (2000–2009) and REASv1.11 (2000–2009).

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Distribution of CO2 fluxes over the Indian land mass

In order to identify CO2 sources and sinks over the Indian continent (8–40° N, 68–100° E), CO2 land atmosphere fluxes obtained from CT-2010 data are averaged for the period 2000–2009. CO2 land atmosphere fluxes (total fluxes) are obtained from combined fossil fuel fluxes, terrestrial ecosystem fluxes and fire fluxes. Figure 2a shows the spatial distribution of total CO2 fluxes over the Indian land mass. It can be seen that CO2 fluxes vary between −150 and 950 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹, and they are well spread over the Indian land mass. From atmospheric inversions (using regional and global transport models), Rivier et al. (2010) reported
CO₂ fluxes varying between −300 and 700 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ over the European region. This indicates that CO₂ emissions are larger over the Indian region as compared to Europe. The regions emitting high CO₂ fluxes (≥ 500 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) are considered as hot spots. CO₂ hot spots are observed over (1) the Delhi corridor region, (2) the Mumbai–Gujarat industrial corridor region, (3) North India (covering West Bengal–Jharkhand–Bihar) and (4) Central India (Maharashtra–Andhra Pradesh). For ease of reference, in the discussion that follows these regions are named as region A, region B, region C and region D respectively. Sinks (negative fluxes) are observed over (5) Madhya Pradesh, (6) Odisha–Chhattisgarh region, (7) Karnataka–Andhra Pradesh and (8) Northeast India (covering Arunachal Pradesh–Nagaland–Manipur–Mizoram). These sink regions are named as region E, region F, region G and region H respectively.

3.1.1 Distribution of CO₂ fluxes at hot spots

From Fig. 2a it is evident that CO₂ emissions are higher (> 200 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (which comprises region A and region C) as compared to other regions. CO₂ hot spots are densely clustered over region D. In order to understand the reason for observed high CO₂ emissions over the hot spot regions, we show the spatial distribution of CO₂ sources over the Indian land mass. Electricity and heat generation (coal-fired power plants, oil, gas) are the major CO₂ emitters (EPA, 2000). In India the majority of power plants are coal fired, and they are considered as large point sources (LPSs). Steel plants, cement plants, industrial processes (sugar, paper, etc), fossil fuel extraction are also LPSs of CO₂. Figure 2c (adapted from Garg et al., 2002) illustrates the distribution of these LPSs over India. It is interesting to compare Fig. 2a and c. High CO₂ fluxes are observed at these LPSs. In the Indo-Gangetic (IG) Plain thermal power plants, steel plants, refineries and other industries are densely concentrated. Furthermore, this region is densely populated, hence anthropogenic activities are also high: CO₂ emissions from transport, coal and wood burning for domestic cooking, ecosystem mass burning are common throughout the year (Garg et al., 2001). In addition to this, there are LPSs densely clustered near Delhi, along the eastern coal belt, and uniformly spread around the rest of the IG region (Garg et al., 2002; Dalvi et al., 2006, Ghude et al., 2008). Since power plants are the largest CO₂ emitters (DoE and EPA, 2000), it is interesting to observe the CO₂ hot spots and location of power plants simultaneously. Figure 2b shows the distribution of major thermal power plants in India. In region A (the Delhi corridor region) thermal power plants are located at Delhi, Faridabad, Panipat, Gautam Budh Nagar, Dadri and Harduaganj, where observed CO₂ fluxes are high (> 600 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹). In region B (Gujarat–Maharashtra) thermal power plants are located at Gandhi Nagar, Kheda, Janor, Nani Napoli and Nashik, where high CO₂ fluxes are collocated. Densely clustered refineries and other industrial processes in the Mumbai–Gujarat region may also contribute to observed high CO₂ fluxes in this region. CO₂ fluxes ~ 600 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed near the western border of West Bengal. It is observed that in this region thermal power plants at Sidhi, Durgapur, Suri, Vindhyachal and Singrauli are densely clustered. In region C, CO₂ fluxes greater than 500 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed near the Maharashtra–Andhra Pradesh border where thermal power plants at Sidhi, Vindhyachal and Singrauli are located. In region D, CO₂ hot spots are observed near the Maharashtra–Andhra Pradesh border, where thermal power plants at Chandrapur, Ramagundam Jyothi Nagar, Chelpur and Khaparkheda are located. High CO₂ fluxes ~ 600 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed near Pondicherry in Tamil Nadu. In this region, Athipattu, Ennore and Neyveli thermal power plants are collocated. A CO₂ emission hot spot is seen at the southern tip of India. Figure 2b shows the thermal power plants Kayamkulam and Tuticorin in this region, while Fig. 2c shows the location of LPSs at the same location.

This indicates that CO₂ emissions from power plants form the major contribution in hot spot regions. Garg et al. (2001) also observed that electric power generation contributes almost half of India’s CO₂ emissions and that the majority comes from coal and lignite consumption. The User Guide for the CO₂ baseline database for the Indian power sector (2011) shows that total CO₂ emissions from the power sector increased to 548.3 Tg during the period 2008–2009 from 494.7 Tg in 2005–2006. Large numbers of coal mines are located in region C and region D. CO₂ emissions from frequent fire events at these mines will also contribute to these hot spots. Emissions from fire events at these refineries might have led to CO₂ fluxes of 500–1000 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹.

CO₂ emissions hot spots in regions A–D are collocated with thermal power plants, urban centres and industrial sources. The transport activities are most concentrated around the large urban and industrial centres. These regions are densely populated and hence a large amount of anthropogenic and vehicular emissions add to emissions from thermal and industrial sources. The transport sector sources contribute around 9.5% to India’s CO₂ equivalent greenhouse gas emissions (Garg et al., 2001). In India the number of vehicles is growing by about 5% a year, with two-wheeled vehicles comprising 76% to the total vehicular population (Ghude et al., 2008). Vehicle population in India is directly related to urban population (Dalvi et al., 2006).

3.1.2 Distribution of CO₂ fluxes in sink regions

In order to understand the reasons for the observed sink over regions E–G, we compared the spatial distribution of CO₂ fluxes with vegetation cover over India, as CO₂ is removed by plants through photosynthesis. Figure 3 shows forest cover over India during 2007 (adopted from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forestry_in_India). Comparison of
Figs. 2a and 3 shows that the regions with CO₂ sink (negative fluxes) are co-located with dense forest regions. For example region E (Madhya Pradesh), region F (Odisha–Chhattisgarh) and region H (Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Mizoram) are covered with dense forest and in such areas power plants and other industries are few. Region G is not covered with dense forest – the observed CO₂ sink may be due to moderate vegetation cover with open forest and due to the presence of a few power plants and industrial centres. Dense forest cover along the west coast of India (the Western Ghats) coincides with observed negative CO₂ fluxes (sink) (∼−100 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹). A CO₂ sink is observed over Shivalik mountain range at Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh as these mountain areas are covered with dense forest.

3.1.3 Distribution of CO₂ fossil fuel fluxes

Figure 4a shows the distribution of CO₂ emissions from anthropogenic fossil fuel burning averaged over the period 2000–2009. The simultaneous observations of Figs. 2a and 4a show that locations of emission of high amounts of CO₂ fossil fuel fluxes coincide with hot spots regions. The difference between CO₂ total fluxes and anthropogenic fossil fuel fluxes is shown in Fig. 4b. It indicates that fossil fuel fluxes dominate the total fluxes and largely contributes to the hot spots. Negative fluxes (indicative of terrestrial ecosystem fluxes) are observed at sink regions in Fig. 4b. CO₂ emissions > 20 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed in patches. This may be due to CO₂ emissions from fires.

Figure 4c and d show averaged CO₂ anthropogenic fossil fuel emissions obtained from EDGAR v4.2 for the period 2000–2009 and REAS v1.11 for the period 2000–2009 respectively. All the three data sets show CO₂ high emissions (500–1000 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) in the hot spot regions – CT-2010, EDGAR and REAS data sets show CO₂ emissions varying between 0 and 1000 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. CO₂ hot spots are quite obvious in all the three data sets. From the REAS inventory Wang et al. (2012) reported that anthropogenic CO₂ emissions over China varied between 0.04 and 6.3 × 10⁷ tons yr⁻¹ during 2003–2005, whereas REAS v1.11 emissions vary between 0.2 × 10⁷ and 4 × 10⁶ ton yr⁻¹ over the Indian land mass. This indicates that CO₂ emissions over China are higher than over India. Since the spatial resolution of EDGAR v4.2 data (0.1 × 0.1) is higher than REAS v1.11 (0.5 × 0.5) and CT-2010 (1 × 1), hot spots are more prominent in the EDGAR v4.2 data. High CO₂ fluxes (> 500 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) near the location of LPSs (thermal power plants) are prominent in the EDGAR emissions inventory.

3.1.4 Distribution of CO₂ terrestrial ecosystem fluxes

Figure 5a shows the spatial distribution of CO₂ fluxes from terrestrial net ecosystem exchange (referred to as terrestrial ecosystem fluxes hereafter) averaged for the period 2000–2009. Positive fluxes represent emissions of CO₂ to the atmosphere, and negative fluxes indicate times when the land biosphere is a sink of CO₂. Figure 5a shows strong negative fluxes over the sink regions (regions E–H in Fig. 2a), along the west coast of India and in Punjab–Uttarakhand on the Shivalik mountain range where forest cover is dense (Fig. 3). CO₂ sinks are also observed in parts of other regions (for example regions B, C and D). This may be due to moderate forest cover in these regions. Figure 5b shows the change in forest cover (%) in India during 2005–2007 (India State of Forest Report, 2009). Although it does not show the change in forest cover during the study period, it indicates change in that region. It shows that most of the sink regions have gained forest cover of up to 0.5%.

CO₂ fire fluxes (Fig. 6a) do not show much spatial variation. Patches of high CO₂ fluxes are observed over Northeast India (Assam, Nagaland Manipur, Arunachal Pradesh, Mizoram), along the west coast of India and over Chhattisgarh and Andhra Pradesh. Over India CO₂ fire fluxes vary from 4 to 45 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. The observed high CO₂ fluxes from fire emissions may be due to forest fire events and fire events at coal mines and oil refineries (the majority of coal mines are clustered in regions C and D where forest cover is also dense), as patches of high CO₂ fluxes overlap with locations of dense forest coal mines and oil refineries. High CO₂ fluxes over West Bengal–Bihar, Chhattisgarh and Andhra Pradesh regions coincide with the coal mine locations. Figure 6b shows the distribution of oil refineries in India. High CO₂ fluxes are observed (Fig. 6a) near the location of oil refiner-
Figure 4. Regional distributions of (a) CT-2010 CO$_2$ fossil fuel fluxes (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (2000–2009) and (b) difference between total and fossil fuel fluxes (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) as obtained from CT-2010 data averaged for the period 2000–2009 over the Indian land mass. (c) Regional distributions of EDGAR v4.2 CO$_2$ fossil fuel emission (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (2000–2009) and (d) regional distributions of REASv1.11 CO$_2$ fossil fuel emissions (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) (2000–2009).

Figure 5. (a) Regional distributions of terrestrial ecosystem CO$_2$ fluxes (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) as obtained from CT-2010 data averaged for the period 2000–2009 over the Indian land mass. (b) Gain and loss of forest cover (%) in Indian states and union territories during 2005–2007 (India State of Forest Report, 2009).
High CO₂ fluxes ∼15–30 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed near Digbol in Assam and Mangalore in Karnataka. Fire events at oil refineries might have contributed to observed the high CO₂ fluxes. CO₂ fluxes of ∼4 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed near the west coast of India (Western Ghats) and fluxes of ∼10–35 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹ are observed in Odisha, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh and Maharashtra regions and in the Shivalik mountain range. This may be related to forest fire events and fire events in coal mines occurring in these regions. In order to compare CO₂ fire fluxes with the distribution of fire spots, data obtained from the forest survey of India are analysed. When fire spot data integrated for the period 2000–2009 are plotted, a regional distribution of fire events was not evident due to the large amount of fire events during this period (figure not included). Hence the fire spot data integrated for the year 2009 are plotted in Fig. 6c. The simultaneous regional distribution of CO₂ fire fluxes (2–45 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) as obtained from CT-2010 averaged for the year 2009 is shown in Fig. 6d. CO₂ high (15–50 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) fluxes are observed in the regions of active fire events.

3.2 Trends in CO₂ fluxes over the Indian land mass

In order to determine trends in CO₂ fluxes over regions A–H, monthly mean CO₂ fluxes are averaged over the corresponding region to obtain the time series. These CT-2010 time series are then subjected to a regression model. Monthly mean trend coefficients obtained from the model are averaged to get the annual trend coefficient. Annual trend coefficients are calculated separately for fossil fuel, terrestrial ecosystem and total fluxes. Since fire events are occasional they are not subjected to regression analysis. Estimated trends over regions A–H are given in Table 1.

3.2.1 Trends in CO₂ total fluxes

CO₂ total fluxes (combined Fossil, Ecosystem, and Fire) show statistically significant increasing trends (at 95 % confidence level) over hot spot regions (regions A–D) and negative (decreasing) trends over the sink regions (E–H). Trends over the regions G and H are not statistically significant. The negative (decreasing) trends over CO₂ sink regions can be explained from terrestrial ecosystem fluxes (discussed later). Over the Indian hot spot regions trends vary between 1.39 ± 1.01 % yr⁻¹ (19.8 ± 1.9 TgC yr⁻¹) and 6.7 ± 0.54 % yr⁻¹ (97 ± 12 TgC yr⁻¹). A strongly increas-
Table 1. Annual trend in % yr\(^{-1}\) (TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) in CT-2010 CO\(_2\) fluxes (total/fossil fuel/terrestrial ecosystem fluxes) over regions A–H. Annual trends estimated in fossil fuel emissions as obtained from REAS v1.11 (for the period 2000–2009) and EDGAR v4.2 (for the period 2000–2009) over regions A–H. Regions A–H refer to the CO\(_2\) emission hot spots and sink regions as marked in Fig. 2a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Trend in % yr(^{-1}) (TgC yr(^{-1})) in total fluxes</th>
<th>Trend in % yr(^{-1}) (TgC yr(^{-1})) in fossil fuel emissions</th>
<th>Trend in % yr(^{-1}) (TgC yr(^{-1})) in fossil fuel emissions REASv1.11 (2000–2009)</th>
<th>Trend in % yr(^{-1}) (TgC yr(^{-1})) in fossil fuel emissions EDGARv4.2 (2000–2009)</th>
<th>Trend in % yr(^{-1}) (TgC yr(^{-1})) in terrestrial ecosystem fluxes CT-2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Region A (Delhi corridor region)</td>
<td>3.25 ± 1.8 (30 ± 1.7)</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.28 (23 ± 1.5)</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.13 (20 ± 5.8)</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.52 (22 ± 1.5)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 1.1 (4 ± 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Region B (Mumbai–Gujarat)</td>
<td>6.21 ± 2.77 (34 ± 5.7)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.26 (30 ± 2)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.16 (25 ± 6.6)</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.53 (27.9 ± 1.95)</td>
<td>1.68 ± 1.23 (4 ± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Region C (North India)</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.54 (97 ± 12)</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.34 (80 ± 4.8)</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.1 (76 ± 21.1)</td>
<td>4.57 ± 0.48 (79.3 ± 4.2)</td>
<td>−3.75 ± 1.89 (−1.9 ± 0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Region D (Central India)</td>
<td>1.39 ± 1.01 (19.8 ± 1.9)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3 (18 ± 1.1)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.14 (13.5 ± 7.2)</td>
<td>4.33 ± 0.5 (15.5 ± 1.0)</td>
<td>−2.5 ± 1.8 (−4 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Region E (Madhya Pradesh)</td>
<td>−3.2 ± 2.48 (−2 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.47 (1 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.17 (0.8 ± 0.69)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.418 (1 ± 1)</td>
<td>−3.97 ± 2.0 (−1.7 ± 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Region F (Odisha-Chhattisgarh region)</td>
<td>−5.7 ± 2.89 (−2.3 ± 2)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.51 (7.2 ± 1)</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.19 (6.13 ± 3.21)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.39 (6.4 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>−3.68 ± 3.2 (−2 ± 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Region G (Karnataka-Andhra Pradesh)</td>
<td>−1.85 ± 2.3 (−1.9 ± 3)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.35 (7.0 ± 0.64)</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.15 (6.01 ± 2.32)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.4 (6.2 ± 1.0)</td>
<td>−2.27 ± 3.0 (−2.2 ± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Region H (Northeast India)</td>
<td>−0.95 ± 1.51 (−1 ± 2)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.2 (4.7 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.18 (4.2 ± 2.6)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.42 (4.4 ± 2.2)</td>
<td>−3.09 ± 5.0 (1.8 ± 1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A similarly high CO\(_2\) trend 6.21 ± 2.77 % yr\(^{-1}\) (34 ± 5.7 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) is also observed over region B (Mumbai–Gujarat) where LPSs are densely clustered. Increasing CO\(_2\) trends over region A (the Delhi corridor) 3.25 ± 1.8 % yr\(^{-1}\) (30 ± 1.7 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and region D (Central India) 1.39 ± 1.01 % yr\(^{-1}\) (19.8 ± 1.9 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) are also observed. Observed trends over region A (Delhi corridor) are less than that of regions B and C. This may be due to reduced coal and oil consumption in Delhi during the last decade (Garg et al., 2001).

3.2.2 Trends in CO\(_2\) fossil fuel fluxes

CT-2010 CO\(_2\) fluxes from fossil fuel burning show statistically significant increasing trends over all the regions (A–H). Trend values vary between 4.37 ± 0.28 % yr\(^{-1}\) (23 ± 1.5 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) (at region A) and 5.5 ± 0.51 % yr\(^{-1}\) (7.2 ± 1 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) (at region F). CT-2010 did not optimize fossil fuel emission. Therefore, the trends calculated for fossil fuel emissions may reflect the bottom-up data used (e.g. EDGAR v4.2) as a priori fluxes. Trends are also estimated from yearly EDGAR v4.2 and REAS v1.11 emission inventories. All the three data sets show...
Table 2. Yearly variation of CO$_2$ fluxes (gC m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) from fossil fuel over region A (Delhi corridor), region B (Mumbai–Gujarat), region C (North India), region D (Central India), region E (Madhya Pradesh), region F (Odisha–Chhattisgarh), region G (Karnataka–Andhra Pradesh), region H (Northeast India).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Region A</th>
<th>Region B</th>
<th>Region C</th>
<th>Region D</th>
<th>Region E</th>
<th>Region F</th>
<th>Region G</th>
<th>Region H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>244.70</td>
<td>107.40</td>
<td>233.70</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>27.76</td>
<td>24.22</td>
<td>38.32</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>252.90</td>
<td>110.70</td>
<td>241.90</td>
<td>116.80</td>
<td>28.73</td>
<td>25.06</td>
<td>39.66</td>
<td>17.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>259.00</td>
<td>113.60</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>119.60</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>25.63</td>
<td>40.58</td>
<td>18.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>271.00</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>259.60</td>
<td>125.20</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>26.83</td>
<td>42.48</td>
<td>19.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>283.90</td>
<td>124.60</td>
<td>272.00</td>
<td>131.10</td>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>44.48</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>298.90</td>
<td>131.00</td>
<td>286.50</td>
<td>138.10</td>
<td>33.90</td>
<td>29.57</td>
<td>46.82</td>
<td>21.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>318.60</td>
<td>139.60</td>
<td>305.20</td>
<td>147.20</td>
<td>36.31</td>
<td>31.66</td>
<td>49.62</td>
<td>22.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>333.20</td>
<td>146.90</td>
<td>317.30</td>
<td>153.70</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>35.13</td>
<td>55.37</td>
<td>25.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>358.80</td>
<td>158.20</td>
<td>341.50</td>
<td>165.50</td>
<td>43.61</td>
<td>37.83</td>
<td>59.62</td>
<td>27.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>378.90</td>
<td>167.00</td>
<td>360.60</td>
<td>174.70</td>
<td>46.05</td>
<td>39.94</td>
<td>62.95</td>
<td>28.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant increasing trends over all the regions. Trends estimated from REASv1.11 (3.19 ± 0.17 (0.8 ± 0.69 TgC yr$^{-1}$) and 3.92 ± 0.18 % yr$^{-1}$ (4.2 ± 2.6)) and EDGAR v4.2 (3.0 ± 0.42 % yr$^{-1}$ (4.4 ± 2.2 TgC yr$^{-1}$) and 4.57 ± 0.48 % yr$^{-1}$ (79.3 ± 4.2 TgCy yr$^{-1}$)) emission inventories are less than CT-2010. The trends in CT-2010 fluxes are close to trend values in EDGAR v4.2, as CT-2010 used EDGAR v4.2 as a priori fluxes. The differences in trend values may be partially due to the difference in time period of the data sets (EDGAR v4.2 emission inventory is for the period 2000–2009 while the REAS v1.11 time series is obtained by combining historical (2000–2003) and prediction (2004–2009) emissions) and partially because of differences in species and emission sources: REAS v1.11 emissions are from fossil and bio-fuel combustion and industrial sources while EDGAR v4.2 emissions are from fossil fuel excluding short-cycle organic carbon from biomass burning. The Carbon Budget (2010) report shows that over India CO$_2$ emissions from fossil fuel increased ∼ 9.4 % during the period 1990–2010 from the emission inventory of greenhouse gases, for 1990 and 1995. Garg et al. (2001) also observed growth of CO$_2$ emissions (∼ 8.1 % annual growth) from fossil fuel over India. The IEA (2011) reported growth in fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions of ∼ 5.7 % during the period 1950–2008. Similar increasing trends are also observed in CT-2010. It should be noted that fossil fuel fluxes show increasing trends over the sink regions (E–H). Table 2 indicates the yearly variation of CO$_2$ emissions from fossil fuel over regions A–H. It can be seen that during all the years the amount of CO$_2$ emissions are less over the sink regions (E–H) as compared to over the hot spot regions (A–D). It should be noted that although the amount of CO$_2$ emissions are relatively less, emission rates are increasing over the period. This may be due to increasing anthropogenic activities such as industrialization, increasing population and urbanization.

3.2.3 Trends in terrestrial ecosystem CO$_2$ fluxes

CT-2010 terrestrial ecosystem fluxes show negative trends (decreasing) (more CO$_2$ uptake by increasing vegetation cover) over all the regions except regions A and B. Increasing trends in regions A and B indicate that the CO$_2$ sink is decreasing over the period. Figure 5b shows the spatial distribution of change in forest cover (%) during the period 2005–2007. It shows that this region lost more than 0.5 % of forest cover. Decreasing forest cover with time will decrease CO$_2$ uptake which might have resulted from the observed increasing terrestrial ecosystem fluxes. Statistically significant negative trends (decreasing) in terrestrial ecosystem fluxes over regions C, D and F may be due to increasing forest cover. Figure 5b shows that these regions (or parts of these regions) have gained forest by up to 0.5 % or more than 0.5 % during the period 2005–2007 (India State of Forest Report, 2009). Over the last two decades, progressive national forestry legislation and policies in India aimed at conservation and sustainable management of forests have reversed deforestation and have transformed India’s forests into a significant net sink of CO$_2$ (India State of Forest Report, 2009). Forest cover over India in 1997 was 65.96 million hectares which increased to 69.09 million hectares by 2007. This indicates that India has gained forest cover of ∼ 3.13 million hectares (4.75 %) during the period 1997–2007 (India State of Forest Report, 2009). It should be noted that over sink regions G and H, negative (decreasing) trends are not statistically significant. This may be due to deforestation in these areas. Figure 5b shows that these regions have lost forest by up to 0.5 % or more than 0.5 %.

The time series of total fluxes (fossil fuel, terrestrial ecosystem fluxes, fire) over regions A–H are averaged to obtain one time series representing the Indian region. Trends in total fluxes over the Indian region (covering source and sink regions) show increasing trends of ∼ 4.72 ± 2.25 % yr$^{-1}$ (25.6 TgCy yr$^{-1}$), indicating that CO$_2$ emissions from fossil fuel are more than the uptake by vegetation. The Carbon
Budget (2010) report shows that the global CO₂ emissions growth rate was \( \sim 3.1\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) during the period 2000–2010, which is slightly lower than the estimated growth rate over India.

Thus our analysis indicates that effort towards conservation and the reversal of deforestation is helping to reduce atmospheric CO₂ by vegetation uptake. Increasing trends in CO₂ fossil fuel fluxes indicate increasing atmospheric CO₂ levels over almost all the regions. As CO₂ gas plays a crucial role in climate change, focused mitigation efforts are required to curb CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel, particularly at hot spots regions (from LPSs) along with efforts towards increasing the vegetation cover.

4 Conclusions

Analysis of 10 years (2000–2009) of CT-2010 CO₂ fluxes gives insight into the regional variation of CO₂ fluxes over the Indian land mass. The spatial distribution of CO₂ fluxes averaged for the period 2000–2009 indicate hot spots and sink regions. CO₂ emission hot spots overlap with locations of densely clustered thermal power plants, coal mines and other industrial and urban centres. The CT-2010 fluxes clearly detect high CO₂ amount over the locations of thermal power plants having large installed capacity. CO₂ sink regions coincide with locations of dense forests with fewer industrial centres. CO₂ fossil fuel emissions show good agreement with two bottom-up inventories: the Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS v1.11) (2000–2009) and the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR v4.2) (2000–2009). Estimated fossil fuel emissions over the hot spot regions is \( \sim 500–950 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \) as obtained from CT-2010, EDGAR v4.2 and REAS v1.11 emission inventories. Statistically significant increasing trends are observed over the hot spot regions while negative (decreasing) trends are observed over the sink regions. The spatial distribution of fire fluxes shows higher CO₂ fluxes in the regions where fire events are frequent (dense forest cover, coal mines and oil refineries). The distribution of active fire spots shows a large number of active fire events in these regions. This demonstrates that CT-2010 fluxes can be used to identify the large emission hot spots (hardest hit regions) in India in order to mitigate the causes of pollution.

Estimated trends in CT-2010 CO₂ fluxes over the Delhi corridor regions are \( 3.25 \pm 1.8\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (30 ± 1.7 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)), for Mumbai–Gujarat they are \( 6.21 \pm 2.77\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (34 ± 5.7 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)), for North India they are \( 6.7 \pm 0.54\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (97 ± 12 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and for Central India they are \( -1.39 \pm 1.01\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (19.8 ± 1.9 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)). Sink regions show negative (decreasing) trends in Madhya Pradesh of \( -3.2 \pm 2.48\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (–2 ± 0.1 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)), in the Odisha–Chhattisgarh region of \( -5.7 \pm 2.89\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (–2.3 ± 2 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)), in Karnataka–Andhra Pradesh of \( -1.85 \pm 2.3\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (–1.9 ± 3 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and in Northeast India of \( -0.95 \pm 1.51\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (–1 ± 2 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)). Estimated trends over the Odisha–Chhattisgarh region, Karnataka–Andhra Pradesh and Northeast India are not statistically significant since error exceeds the magnitude of the trend.

Fossil fuel fluxes show increasing trends over all the regions (A–H). Estimated trends in CT-2010 CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel burning vary between \( 4.37 \pm 0.28\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (23 ± 1.5 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and \( 5.5 \pm 0.51\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (7.2 ± 1 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)), while trends computed from REAS emissions are between \( 3.19 \pm 0.17\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (0.8 ± 0.69 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and \( 3.92 \pm 0.18\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (4.2 ± 2.6 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and from EDGAR v4.2 they are between 3.0 ± 0.42% yr\(^{-1}\) (4.4 ± 2.2 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)) and 4.57 ± 0.48% yr\(^{-1}\) (79.3 ± 4.2 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)). These differences may be partially due to the difference in time period of the data sets (EDGAR v4.2 data is for the period 2000–2009 while the REAS v1.11 time series is obtained from combining historical (2000–2003) and predicted (2004–2009) emissions) and partially because of differences in species and emission sources. REAS v1.11 emissions are from fossil and biofuel combustion and industrial sources while EDGAR v4.2 emissions are from fossil fuel excluding short-cycle organic carbon from biomass burning.

Although the amount of fossil fuel fluxes emitted over sinks regions are less than over hot spot regions, their emission rates are increasing over the period. This indicates that CO₂ emission due to anthropogenic activities is increasing. CT-2010 terrestrial ecosystem fluxes show decreasing trends over most of the regions. This implies that forest cover is increasing, which is consistent with the India State of Forest Report (2009). Trends in CT-2010 total fluxes (fossil fuel, terrestrial ecosystem fluxes, fire) over the Indian region (source + sinks) show an increasing trend of \( 4.72 \pm 2.25\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) (25.6 TgC yr\(^{-1}\)). This implies that increasing fossil fuel fluxes are more than the net terrestrial ecosystem uptake. Estimated CO₂ trends from CT-2010 fluxes over India are slightly higher than the global CO₂ growth rate \( \sim 3.1\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \) during the period 2000–2010 (Carbon Budget, 2010).

Thus our analysis indicates that effort towards conservation and reversal of deforestation is helping to reduce atmospheric CO₂ by terrestrial ecosystem uptake over the Indian region. However increasing trends in CO₂ fossil fuel fluxes are stronger over almost all the regions. Thus focused mitigation efforts are required to curb CO₂ emission from fossil fuels particularly at hot spot regions (from LPSs) along with efforts towards increasing the vegetation cover.
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