Thermospheric vorticity at high geomagnetic latitudes from CHAMP data and its IMF dependence
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Abstract. Neutral thermospheric wind pattern at high latitudes obtained from cross-track acceleration measurements of the CHAMP satellite above both polar regions are used to deduce statistical neutral wind vorticity distributions and were analyzed in their dependence on the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF). The average pattern confirms the large duskside anticyclonic vortex seen in the average wind pattern and reveals a cyclonic vorticity on the dawnside, which is almost equal in magnitude to the duskside minimum. The outer shape of the vorticity pattern agrees approximately with the outer boundary of region-1 currents in the well-known average current distributions of Iijima and Potemra (1976). The IMF dependence of the vorticity pattern resembles the characteristic FAC and ionospheric plasma drift pattern known from various statistical studies obtained under the same sorting conditions.
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1 Introduction

It has long been known that the high-latitude thermospheric wind is governed by solar wind conditions, in particular by direction and strength of the IMF (Rees and Fuller-Rowell, 1989; Killeen et al., 1995). It is generally accepted that this coupling occurs by means of ion drag between ionospheric ions set in motion by solar wind induced magnetospheric convection and thermospheric neutrals. In the classical magnetosphere-ionosphere-thermosphere (M-I-T) coupling theory (reviewed, e.g., by Cowley, 2000), the ionosphere/thermosphere end is treated as a height-integrated boundary of the magnetosphere, where field-aligned current (FAC) systems mediate stress and energy with the magnetosphere-magnetosheath current generation system.

On the other hand, the thermospheric winds move the conducting ionized layers across the geomagnetic field lines, resulting in a neutral wind dynamo effect that contributes to the overall electrodynamics of the coupled system (Blanc and Richmond, 1980). At the same time, the relative motion between neutrals and ionized particles will cause frictional (or Joule) heating (Killeen et al., 1984; Vasyliunas and Song, 2005), which complement thermal drivers caused by solar EUV heating and energetic particle precipitation. The coupled M-I-T system will adjust the various driving processes to result in the plasma convection and thermospheric wind pattern, which we observe. One way to address questions to the complex dynamics of the coupling is nowadays more and more the use of first-principle global numerical models as, e.g., in the studies of Lu et al. (1995); Peymirat et al. (2002); Ridley et al. (2003).

Siscoe and Siebert (2006) and Vasyliunas (2007) have recently pinpointed an indirect mechanism involving the region-1 FAC system and the geomagnetic dipole which increases the drag on the thermosphere nearly an order of magnitude over the direct drag mechanism. Vasyliunas (2007) compares this Lorentz force mechanism as an analog to mechanical leveraging and calls it the mechanical advantage of the magnetosphere in the M-I-T system. The \( \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \) force acting against the solar wind at the high-altitude end of the region-1 current loop is transmitted to the Earth as a \( \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \) force acting on the thermosphere (Siscoe, 2006).

Statistical patterns, like in this study, represent some average behaviour, which might be far from equilibrium conditions within the highly dynamic M-I-T system. Thermospheric neutral wind may in reality be a function of height as well as of time; no formulation with the wind at rest in a single frame of reference is possible. Song et al. (2009) modelled a simple dynamic system in three-fluid theory, that tries for the first time to couple the nearly...
collisionless magnetosphere to the highly collisional ionosphere/thermosphere system. They showed, amongst other things, that the acceleration of the neutral wind is fastest at F-region heights, where, in the absence of purely neutral stresses, plasma and neutral flows can become equal in tens of minutes to hours.

Newly developed sensitive triaxial accelerometers onboard satellites like that of the recent CHAMP mission offer relatively precise in-situ measurements of thermospheric mass density and cross-track wind along the orbit. The comprehensive CHAMP data set and the novel methodology of its data analysis as elaborated in Sect. 2 allow detailed studies of the high-latitude thermospheric wind behaviour at F-region height like those of the DE-2 mission about two solar cycles earlier (Killeen and Roble, 1988; Killeen et al., 1995; Thayer and Killeen, 1991). The novelty of our study, based on well-founded statistics, consists in the first systematic analysis of the thermospheric vorticity in polar regions of both hemispheres in dependence on the IMF orientation, which is presented in Sect. 3. We provide a short discussion of our findings in the final Sect. 4 and conclude this first, preliminary communication with a short résumé.

2 Data

The CHAllenging Minisatellite Payload (CHAMP), which is managed by the GFZ German Center of Geosciences in Potsdam, was launched in summer 2000 into a near-circular near-polar orbit at \( \sim 460 \) km with an inclination of \( \sim 87.3^\circ \). During the years of interest for this study, 2002 and 2003, its orbital altitude had decayed to about 400 km.

One key scientific instrument onboard CHAMP is the triaxial accelerometer. It is located at the spacecraft’s center of mass and effectively samples the in situ acceleration with an accuracy of \( \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \) m s\(^{-2}\) (Doornbos et al., 2010). From the air drag observations, thermospheric mass density and cross-track neutral wind data have been obtained using a simplified methodology as described by Liu et al. (2006). This method neglects lift and sideways forces on the spacecraft or requires that these forces are modeled and removed from the acceleration beforehand, as it was done later by Sutton et al. (2007), who named it a “dual-axis method”.

Using these early cross-track wind estimations, statistical patterns of the high-latitude thermospheric wind for the year 2003 and its dependence on IMF orientation were already obtained by Förster et al. (2008). They showed the IMF dependence on a qualitative level only by means of wind vector array patterns and revealed the systematic cross-polar wind amplitude variation with IMF \( B_x \) and \( B_y \). Due to the simplified method used, the estimated wind magnitudes were larger by about 40% on average in this previous study, although based on the same primary accelerometer data set. The overall average of the wind magnitude at geomagnetic latitudes > 80° was \( \sim 500 \) m s\(^{-1}\) (cf. Förster et al., 2008, Tables 1 and 2) versus \( \sim 360 \) m s\(^{-1}\) for the present study.

This study uses a newly calibrated CHAMP data set, which resulted from a recent study, funded by the ESA’s General Studies Program, where an improved methodology of neutral wind determination was implemented. The new method employs a sophisticated iterative algorithm for determining density and the crosswind component simultaneously from multiaxis accelerometer measurements. It makes use of detailed numerical models of the spacecraft’s surface interaction with various radiation sources and aerodynamic forces (Doornbos et al., 2010).

The IMF values at the frontside magnetopause are deduced from time shifted data of the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft, using the phase front propagation technique (Weimer et al., 2003) in a slightly modified version, that is based on constrained minimum variance analyses of the IMF (Haaland et al., 2006). The procedure of sorting for specified IMF directions (clock angle sectors) and the bias value filtering of solar wind data for stable IMF conditions has been described in the companion papers of Haaland et al. (2007) and Förster et al. (2007). Here, we use the same methodology with the same bias value (\( \geq 0.96 \)) threshold as for these magnetospheric Cluster/EDI plasma convection studies and as in the preceding high-latitude wind analysis of Förster et al. (2008).

Likewise, we used magnetic coordinates because of the strong geomagnetic control of the high-latitude thermosphere dynamics, which has been shown since the early satellite observations of thermospheric neutral wind as, e.g., by Huys et al. (1984). The pre-processed accelerometer data at 10-s intervals were binned into a concentric grid of magnetic local time (MLT) versus magnetic latitude with characteristic squarish bin sizes of \( \sim 5 \times 10^4 \) km\(^2\) (2° width in latitude).

CHAMP’s precession rate allows a full data coverage of all MLTs within about 131 days. Global patterns of the high-latitude neutral wind are therefore always statistical in nature, with minimum time intervals of about three months and some interference between MLT and seasonal variations. The 2-year interval 2002–2003 of this study has been selected to ensure good coverage over the seasons and for each IMF sector. The moderate to high solar activity conditions ensured high drag accelerations on CHAMP, resulting in more accurate wind estimates than at lower solar activity in later years (Doornbos et al., 2010).

3 Thermospheric vorticity

Figure 1, upper panel, shows the statistically averaged high-latitude horizontal thermospheric wind pattern for the years 2002–2003 of moderate to high solar activity. The MLT/magnetic latitude projection of the southern polar region is such as looking from North through a transparent Earth. The bottom panel presents the neutral wind vorticity.
Fig. 1. Average statistic thermospheric wind pattern at ~400 km height over the South polar hemisphere obtained from CHAMP accelerometer data of the whole years 2002 and 2003. The MLT versus magnetic latitude dials have an outer boundary of 60°. The neutral wind direction and magnitude are shown in the upper panel by small vectors with the origin in the dots at the bin’s position. The bottom panel shows the vorticity pattern of the horizontal wind vectors. Negative values (red) indicate a clockwise, positive (blue) a counterclockwise circulation according to the colour bar at the bottom. Minimum and maximum values are indicated there as well.

which has been deduced from this circulation pattern by applying Stoke’s theorem to each bin, similar to the study of Sofko et al. (1995). It approximates the vorticity \( \omega \) across each bin’s surface with the integrated flow along the closed path at its boundary to the neighbouring grid cells. Unlike the almost oppositely directed, namely magnetic field-aligned plasma flow vorticity of Sofko et al. (1995) or Chisham et al. (2009), we define the horizontal wind vorticity with respect to the radial or locally upward direction similar to, e.g., Thayer and Killeen (1991) with positive values (blue) representing cyclonic (counter-clockwise) rotation and negative (red) for anticyclonic (clockwise) rotation.

The high-latituute neutral wind vorticity pattern in Fig. 1 shows the well-known large duskside anticyclonic rotation with a minimum value of \(-0.53\) mHz. The dawnside cyclonic vorticity is slightly smaller (about 20%) in magnitude for the overall average with a maximum value of 0.41 mHz and follows in shape the other semi-circle of the auroral belt. The two symmetric crescent-shaped vorticity areas are slightly turned clockwise with respect to the noon-midnight meridian and a comparison with the wind vector pattern in the upper panel shows their different quality. The duskside vorticity is due to shear motion forming the large dusk vortex, while the dawnside vorticity represents a slight curvature on the large background wind circulation. The Northern Hemisphere vorticity pattern (not shown) is similar in shape, but slightly more intense with minimum/maximum values of \(-0.75\) mHz and 0.67 mHz for the dusk and dawn vorticity, respectively.

Figure 2 repeats the lower panel of Fig. 1, but with the inserted pattern of the average large-scale FAC distribution from the famous study of Iijima and Potemra (1976) based on Triad magnetometer data for weakly disturbed conditions (|\( AL \)| <100 nT) recorded between July 1973 and October 1974. The average outer boundary of region-1 currents follows surprisingly close the outer shape of the vorticity pattern.
including the gaps of the Harang discontinuity region near and prior to midnight as well as a prenoon interval within the cusp region.

Figure 3 specifies the vorticity patterns in dependence on IMF orientation. The eight sectors represent average neutral wind vorticities for separate IMF ranges, each 45° wide, around IMF directions indicated on top of each dial. The average magnitude increases with $B_z$ turning southward and becomes largest for $B_z^-$ (sector 4), while the vorticity magnitudes are smallest and least in latitudinal extent for $B_z^+$ (sector 0), where even an indication of a four-cell structure at high dayside latitudes can be noticed.

A large round vorticity cell on the duskside forms for $B_y^-$ (sectors 5–7), while it is “crescent-shaped” under $B_y^+$ conditions (sectors 1–3), where the duskside cell occupies a larger “round-shaped” region. The magnitude of the dawn and dusk side vorticity peaks are about the same for sectors 4 and 5, i.e. for southward IMF and toward $B_y^-$, while the duskside vorticity magnitude exceeds the dawnside positive vorticity values everywhere else. The patterns are mirror-symmetric with respect to IMF $B_y$ for the Northern Hemisphere (not shown), where the average magnitudes are generally larger.

The small-scale heterogeneous structures at lower latitudes, primarily on the dayside ($< 70°$ magnetic latitude), are obviously artefacts of the data sampling. They appear because MLT variations interfere with seasonal variations and short-term storm intervals impress with “disturbed” winds (in magnitude and direction) during limited MLT intervals. Nevertheless, the vorticity patterns in dependence on IMF orientation dominate at higher latitudes and resemble the characteristic FAC (cf., e.g., Weimer, 2001; Papitashvili et al., 2002) and/or plasma drift patterns (Ruohoniemi and Greenwald, 2005; Haaland et al., 2007).

4 Discussion and conclusion

This paper presents statistical neutral wind vorticity patterns based on newly calibrated and re-evaluated accelerometer
data of the CHAMP satellite. It shows the strong dependence of the thermospheric wind at F-region heights (~400 km) on the IMF conditions. These patterns confirm previous findings based on early satellite data in the 1980s (e.g., Killeen and Roble, 1988; Killeen et al., 1995), but can now rest upon a much broader statistical base due to the good coverage of the CHAMP data. This allowed for the first time a systematic analysis of the solar wind and IMF dependencies with respect to the thermospheric vorticity pattern at high geomagnetic latitudes.

The vorticity map of the average velocity pattern (Fig. 1) compares quite well to the pattern deduced from DE-2 measurements in the winter months November through January of 1981–1983 with comparable solar activity conditions (Thayer and Killeen, 1991). The magnitudes for their quiet (Kp ≤ 3) case are close to our values at the Southern Hemisphere, while our northern values are slightly above their active geomagnetic condition’s case at Northern Hemisphere winter. Averaging over seasonal effects, we have observational evidence for hemispheric differences which are most likely due to the different geographic-geomagnetic offset (Förster et al., 2008), or even due to different patterns of geomagnetic flux densities.

It was noted already in earlier studies, that the anticyclonic dusk side vortex of the average thermospheric circulation is almost invariably stronger than the cyclonic vortex associated with the dawn side ion convection cell (Killeen and Roble, 1988). These observations were accompanied by comprehensive theoretical-numerical studies (e.g. Rees and Fuller-Rowell, 1989), which ascribe this difference to the effects of the Coriolis and centrifugal forces, which tend to maintain the dusk side anticyclonic vorticity. Indications for hemispheric differences of the average vorticity magnitude, however, which are presented independent of seasonal effects, are shown here to our knowledge for the first time.

The variation of the vorticity pattern with IMF $B_x$ and $B_z$ (Fig. 3) strongly resembles the corresponding pattern of the plasma drift (e.g., Ruohoniemi and Greenwald, 2005; Halland et al., 2007) and FACs (e.g. Weimer, 2001; Papitashvili et al., 2002). Additional to the strong changes of extent, shape and position of the dusk and dawn side circulation cells with the IMF orientation, there is also a systematic variation in the ratio of their maximum amplitudes within the cells. The peak values vary stronger for the cyclonic dawn cell than for the anticyclonic dusk cell and they are nearly equal for $B_x = -B_z$ (sectors 4–5) at the Southern Hemisphere (being approximately mirror symmetric with respect to IMF $B_y$ at the Northern). This comes along with the largest cross-polar thermospheric wind amplitudes over the central polar cap for this IMF orientation, as shown by Förster et al. (2008). But more extended analyses of the dependencies and comparisons of the plasma convection, FAC, and neutral wind pattern in dependence on solar wind and IMF conditions are beyond the scope of the present paper. Detailed studies are in progress and will be published in a more comprehensive paper.

Statistical patterns of high-latitude magnetic field-aligned plasma vorticity with the same sorting for 8 sectors of IMF orientation have been deduced recently also from SuperDARN measurements (Chisham et al., 2009). They show the close affinity to corresponding FAC pattern, despite of smaller variations due to seasonal (ionspheric conductance) effects. Chisham and Freeman (2010) investigated in a subsequent study the probability density function of ionspheric vorticity measurements and showed that it is best modelled by the q-exponential distribution across most of the polar ionosphere, except in the dayside region 1 current region, where the Weibull distribution provides the best model. They interpret this as an indication for the relative importance of different physical mechanisms affecting the ionspheric vorticity in the various regions with a dominance of convective (barotropic) effects within the dayside region 1 and baroclinic vorticity elsewhere (Chisham and Freeman, 2010). The clear-cut neutral wind vorticity regions and their obvious IMF dependence indicate a strong relevance of the neutral wind for this ionspheric vorticity behaviour.

For a deeper understanding of the M-I-T system, adequate numerical models are indispensable due to the complexity and nonlinearity of its coupling processes. This concerns first of all global, time-dependent first-principal MHD models like, e.g., that of Lu et al. (1995); Ridley et al. (2003), but also the recently developed three fluid theory and modelling are very promising (Song et al., 2009).

The long data series of the new generation polar-orbiting LEO satellites with accelerometers onboard like CHAMP, GRACE and the forthcoming Swarm mission will allow much more detailed studies of the high-latitude thermosphere dynamics and its complex interaction with magnetospheric configurations under varying solar wind conditions.
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