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Abstract. A complete theory of low-frequency MHD oscillations of the Earth’s weakly ionized ionosphere is formulated. Peculiarities of excitation and propagation of electromagnetic acoustic-gravity, MHD and planetary waves are considered in the Earth’s ionosphere. The general dispersion equation is derived for the magneto-acoustic, magneto-gravity and electromagnetic planetary waves in the ionospheric E- and F-regions. The action of the geomagnetic field on the propagation of acoustic-gravity waves is elucidated. The nature of the existence of the comparatively new large-scale electromagnetic planetary branches is emphasized.
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1 Introduction

In the present review, both new and known branches of electromagnetic oscillations of the Earth’s weakly ionized upper atmosphere (ionosphere) are considered; the Earth’s magnetic field ionospheric E- and F-layers consisting of electrons, ions and neutral particles. In the upper atmosphere, all wavy varieties can be divided into a relatively small, meso-scale (with wavelengths $< 10^3$ km) and large, synoptic-scale (with wavelengths in the region of $10^3 - 10^4$ km) perturbations. In the first class of waves belong the acoustic, iner-tio-gravitational and MHD (Alfvén and magnetoaoustic) waves, while the second class of waves contains planetary Rossby waves and magnetogradient waves, which are caused by the latitudinal inhomogeneity of both the angular velocity of the Earth’s rotation and the geomagnetic field. Similarly, with the troposphere, we will call the large-scale waves weather-forming waves since they carry over large-scale vortices of cyclonic and anticyclone nature. We will not consider the high-frequency electromagnetic waves of plasma. During the selection of material, of course, the personal scientific interests of the authors played quite important role.

It is well known that the set of thermohydrodynamic partial differential equations of the lower atmosphere (troposphere) is of the fifth order over the time derivative (the system contains time derivatives from three velocity components, pressure and density). Consequently, the solution of the Cauchy problem for this system of equations requires the setting of the five fields of meteorological elements at the initial time. According to the observations (Kelley, 1989; Alperovich and Fedorov, 2007), wavy motions in the troposphere, propagating under the arbitrary initial conditions, can be clearly divided into relatively slow (synoptic) and fast motions. Slow wavy structures in the troposphere are always of a large-scale (with wavelength $\lambda \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ km) and long-period (from two days to two weeks and more) nature and move in the atmosphere with the velocities exceeding zonal winds ($5-20$ m s$^{-1}$). These wavy perturbations (planetary Rossby waves) actually determine regional weather in the troposphere. Fast wavy motions, which usually are of a short-period (from several minutes to an hour) and small-scale ($\lambda \leq 10^3$ km) nature, propagate in the troposphere with a sound velocity and are generated due to the compressibility and temperature stratification of the atmosphere.

The synoptic processes reduction of the time derivative order of the set of partial differential equations of the troposphere dynamics (from the fifth to the first) is justified...
by the fact that the fast wavy motions in the slow weather-forming processes create only “meteorological noise” and, therefore, they need to be filtered in advance. Indeed, in following numerous observations (Kelley, 1989; Alperovich and Fedorov, 2007), the real atmosphere quickly restores the violation of both quasi-static (during several minutes) and quasi-geostrophic (roughly during one hour) states. Thus, for the synoptic processes (two weeks and more), it may be considered that the atmosphere always is in the quasi-static and quasi-geostrophic states. As it was shown (Monin and Obukhov, 1958; Yaglom, 1953), when observing these conditions four frequencies of acoustic-gravity waves (AGW) fall out from the dispersion equation and only the frequency of the Earth’s angular velocity

\[ \omega_0(\chi)(\chi \text{ is the latitude}) \]

is always directed from the south to the north, the latitudinal gradient of the Coriolis force generates large-scale wavy perturbations in the atmosphere, which move along the parallels in the one direction (westwards) only.

Unlike the troposphere, the set of MHD equations of the electrically conducting ionosphere is of the eighth order over the time derivative (besides the five meteorological elements, the system now contains also three components of induced magnetic field). Therefore, the wavy processes in the upper atmosphere have both a hydrodynamic and electromagnetic nature. In the large-scale wavy processes, the induced magnetic field enriches temporal spectrum with high frequencies and, therefore, planetary waves in the ionosphere become both slow and long-period (hydrodynamic Rossby-type planetary waves: Tolstoy, 1967; Khantadze, 1967) and fast and short-period as well. The new, fast planetary waves have the electromagnetic nature with relatively high frequencies (10^{-3}–10^{-4} s^{-1}) and move in the ionosphere with a velocity of more than 1 km s^{-1}. First, these waves were theoretically discovered by Khantadze (1986, 1999, 2001). Slow and fast planetary waves are generated in the ionosphere by the latitudinal gradient of the electromagnetic Ampère’s force \( F_A = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{H}_0/c \), where \( \rho \) is the density of a neutral component, \( c \) is the light speed, \( \mathbf{j} \) is the current density, and \( \mathbf{H}_0(r, \chi') \) is the geomagnetic field; \( r \) is a distance from the Earth’s center, \( \chi' \) is the geomagnetic latitude (in the sequel we assume that the geographic and geomagnetic latitudes are coinciding). The geomagnetic field also generates small and medium-scale waves: magneto-acoustic and Alfvén waves. In the ionosphere, magneto-acoustic waves are generated by the elasticity of the geomagnetic lines of force. These are fast waves (with the propagation velocity more that 1 km s^{-1}) and short-period (of the order of 5–20 min). Alfvén waves, with phase velocity depending on the orientation of the wave vector \( \mathbf{k} \) with respect to the geomagnetic field \( \mathbf{H}_0 \), are generated due to the tension of the geomagnetic lines of force and, as it will be shown below, can be very slow (10/50 m s^{-1}) and long-period (1/2 days), when the wave vector \( \mathbf{k} \) is almost transversal to \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) and fast, when vectors \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) are parallel.

It follows that the traditional method of wave filtration used in the troposphere does not work under the ionospheric conditions. Therefore, hereafter we will consider planetary waves, and small and medium-scale waves independently. Certainly, at the same time, some important effects of interaction of the waves with different scales are lost (Khantadze et al., 1982), however, in linear approximation such consideration seems correct.

The review is organised in the following fashion: in Sect. 2 the basic equations for the electromagnetic ionospheric oscillations are formulated and discussed. The main peculiarities of the propagating waves are considered and the necessity of the consideration of their electromagnetic nature is emphasized. The nature of the slow MHD waves in the ionosphere is explained in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, the influence of the geomagnetic field on the propagation of AGW in the ionosphere is considered. Comparatively, a new class of ULF electromagnetic planetary waves in the ionospheric E- and F-regions is clarified in Sect. 5. Our discussions and conclusions can be found in Sect. 6.

2 Equations of electromagnetic ionospheric oscillations

Let us consider the electrically conducting, compressible and stratified ionospheric medium where wavy processes occur polytropically with the polytrophic coefficient \( \alpha \). Taking into account Hall’s effect, the basic MHD equations of the ionosphere can be presented in the following form (Khantadze, 1971):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} &= -\nabla p + \rho \mathbf{V} \times 2\omega_0 + \rho \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}, \\
\frac{d\mathbf{H}}{dt} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{H} - \delta \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \right), \\
\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} &= \frac{\alpha}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \mathbf{V} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are vectors of the fluid velocity and magnetic field, respectively. \( P \) and \( \rho \) are pressure and density of the neutral component of the ionosphere, \( \mathbf{g} \) is the vector of gravitational acceleration, \( \alpha = c/eN \) is the Hall’s parameter, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( e \) is the elementary charge. \( N \) is the concentration of the ionospheric plasma. Here we introduce the dimensionless parameter \( \delta = 1 \) in the presence of Hall’s effect (E-region of the ionosphere) and \( \delta = 0 \) when Hall’s effect disappears (F-region).

Firstly, let us note that the geomagnetic field \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) satisfies Maxwell’s equations: \( \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_0 = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_0 = 0 \) in the ionosphere and, therefore, in the ground state the system (1) passes into the set of equations on basic motion of the ordinary atmosphere. In the ionosphere the geomagnetic field \( \mathbf{H}_0 \) generates an electric field (dynamo field), caused by the
wind mechanism $E_0 = V_0 \times H_0$, here $V_0$ is the motion velocity in the ground state.

In the present paper, we will not investigate the influence of the medium’s ground state motion on the oscillations, but we will consider the equilibrium state when pressure $\bar{P}$ and density $\bar{\rho}$ depend only on the $z$-coordinate and are connected to each other by the static equilibrium equation $\partial P/\partial z = -\bar{\rho} g$.

If we neglect, for simplicity, the action of the Coriolis force and Hall’s effect and linearized the set of equations (Eq. 1) with respect to the equilibrium state, we easily get one vector equation for the perturbed velocity $V$:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2} = c_s^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot V) + \nabla (V \times g) + (\omega - 1) g \nabla \cdot V - \frac{1}{4\pi \rho_0} H_0 \times \nabla \times V \times H_0,
$$

(2)

where $c_s^2 = \alpha P_0 / \bar{\rho}_0 = \alpha g H$ is the square of the sound velocity and $H = RT_0 / g$ is the height of the homogeneous atmosphere. Further it is assumed that $T_0 = \text{const}$.

Derivation of the general dispersion equation from Eq. (2) is quite a difficult problem, however if we introduce $\theta_0 - \theta_H - \theta_H - \theta_{H0}$ and vectors $k$ and $H_0$, the general dispersion equation can be obtained in the form (McLellan and Winterberg, 1968; Khantadze, 1973):

$$
(\omega^2 - \omega_a^2 \cos^2 \theta_k) \left[ \omega^4 - \omega^2 \left( \omega_a^2 + \omega_c^2 + i \frac{\omega_c^2}{k H} \cos \theta_k \right) 
+ N^2 \omega_a^2 \sin^2 \theta_k + i \frac{\omega_c^2 \omega_a^2 \cos \theta \cos \theta_H \cos \theta_H} 
+ \omega_c^2 \omega_a^2 \cos \theta_k \right] = 0.
$$

(3)

Here $\omega_a^2 = V_a^2 k^2$, $\omega_c^2 = c_s^2 k^2$, $N^2 = (g^2 / c_s^2 + g / \bar{\rho} d \bar{\rho} / dz) = g(1 - 1 / x) / H$ are squared frequencies of MHD, sound and Brunt-Väisälä frequencies, respectively; $V_a^2 = H_0^2 / 4\pi \bar{\rho}$ is the square of the velocity of MHD waves, $k = \sqrt{k_{1z}^2 + m^2}$ – total wavenumber, $k_{1z}^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$, $m = k_z - i/2H$. The introduction of complex $m$ formally reduces the problem of wave propagation in an inhomogeneous atmosphere to the case of homogeneous medium.

The first bracket describes propagation of transverse Alfvén’s waves in the ionosphere. Compressibility and stratification of the ionosphere do not play any role in the Alfvén’s waves and, therefore, for these waves the transversality condition $(k \times V) = 0$ is always satisfied. In this case, an imaginary term can be neglected in the expression for the wavenumber $m$ (since in the absence of stratification $g = 0$ and $H = \infty$). As a result in the Alfvén’s frequency $\omega_a = V_a k$ total wavenumber is a real number. Square bracket in Eq. (3) describes propagation of magnetoacoustic and magneto-gravity waves in the ionosphere. It contains important special cases:

a) in the absence of magnetic field ($V_a = 0$) the expression in square brackets passes into the well-known dispersion equation for the acoustic-gravity waves (AGW) (Prandtl, 1952):

$$
\omega^4 - \omega^2 \left( \omega_a^2 + i \frac{\omega_a^2}{kH} \cos \theta_k \right) + N^2 \omega_a^2 \sin^2 \theta_k = 0.
$$

(4)

Getting rid of imaginary terms, we can easily obtain standard dispersion equation for AGW (Khantadze, 1973):

$$
\frac{\omega^4}{\omega_a^2} + \frac{\omega_c^2}{\omega_a^2} = 1,
$$

(5)

$$
\frac{\omega_a^2}{\omega_c^2} = \omega a \frac{g}{H} (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + 1/4H^2),
$$

(6)

$$
\omega_c^2 = \frac{g}{H} (1 - \omega^2) \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 + 1/4H^2}.
$$

(7)

Waves having these frequencies are called the internal gravity waves. Thus, compressibility is a decisive factor for the existence of acoustic waves.

2) If $\omega \rightarrow 1$ in the polytrophic equation $P^{(1-\omega)/\gamma} = \text{const}$ the value $\omega = \infty$ should be placed, which is the unique condition when density remains constant. As it follows from Eq. (6), if $\omega = \infty$, all frequencies, corresponding to the acoustic waves $\omega_a$, become infinite, i.e. waves with these frequencies vanish since the phase velocities of these waves tend to infinity and their periods tend to zero. Thus, in the incompressible medium, only oscillations with frequencies $\omega_a$ can arise at $\omega \rightarrow \infty$:
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\omega^2 = \frac{g}{H} \frac{k_x^2}{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + 1/4H^2}.
$$

(7)
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assumed that wavy processes run adiabatically ($\alpha = \gamma = 1.4$) as, in this case density, does not remain constant ($\alpha \neq 0$) and wavy motions with frequencies $\omega_k$ remain. On the other hand, the condition of isothermal motion is not satisfied ($\alpha \neq 1$) as well. Consequently, wavy motions with frequencies $\omega_k$ also remain. From Eq. (5) it follows that the gravitational waves are also always more low-frequency than acoustic waves. In the ionospheric F-region, acoustic waves have a period of 5–13 min and gravitational 1–1.5 h. The maximum velocity of the AGW propagation in the ionosphere does not exceed 700–800 m s$^{-1}$ (Khantadze, 1973; Grigor'ev, 1999).

As it follows from Eq. (6), AGW always has a vertical component, i.e. they are essentially three-dimensional and, therefore, they were called internal waves (Monin and Obukhov, 1958). Nonlinear acoustic-gravity waves were considered by Stenflo and Shukla (2009).

b) In the absence of stratification ($g = 0$), when the perturbed pressure is a function of only perturbed density, the square bracket in Eq. (3) gives the dispersion equation for turbded pressure is a function of only perturbed density, the

$$\omega^2 - \omega^2 (c_s^2 + V_a^2) k^2 + V_a^2 c_s^2 k^4 \cos^2 \theta_k H = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Here, the wave number $k$ is real. Solving Eq. (9) with respect to the phase velocity $c_{\phi \pm} = \omega/k$, we obtain:

$$c_{\phi \pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{c_s^2 + V_a^2 \pm 2 c_s V_a \cos \theta_k H}$$

$$\pm (\sqrt{c_s^2 + V_a^2 - 2 c_s V_a \cos \theta_k H})$$.  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The sign $(+)$ corresponds to the fast magneto-acoustic waves and $(-)$ to the slow magneto-acoustic waves. In addition, waves propagating both in positive and negative directions correspond to each $c_{\phi \pm}$ and $c_{\phi \mp}$.

Cross and dot multiplication of the linearized momentum equation by wave-vector $k$ (at $g = 0$, $\omega_0 = 0$) gives:

$$V \times k = -\frac{k \times H_0}{4 \pi \rho} h \times k, \quad \tilde{\rho} (V \times k) = P + \frac{(H_0 \times h)}{4 \pi} \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Here $h$ is the perturbation of the geomagnetic field.

From Eq. (11) it follows that fast and slow magneto-acoustic waves in the ionosphere, under the considered approximation, have neither a longitudinal ($V \times k = 0$) nor a transverse ($\langle V \times k \rangle = 0$) nature, i.e. we have waves of mixed type. From Eq. (10) follows that depending on an angle $\theta_k H$, the nature of slow magneto-acoustic waves will significantly change. Indeed, if $\theta_k H = 0$, i.e. $k || H_0$, from Eq. (10) we get $c_{\phi \pm} = c_s$ and $c_{\phi \mp} = V_a$. Similar to this case, if $\theta_k H = \pi/2$, i.e. when $k \perp H_0$, we get $c_{\phi \pm} = \sqrt{c_s^2 + V_a^2}$, $c_{\phi \mp} = 0$. In the case of other angles of $\theta_k H$, the proposed (Khantadze, 1973) graphical method by Friedrichs is usually used. For the evaluation of the angle $\alpha$ between the velocity vector $V$ and wave vector $k$, we easily get from expression (11):

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{\sin \theta_k H \cdot \cos \theta_k H}{\cos^2 \theta_k H - c_{\phi \pm}^2 / V_a^2}$$.  \hspace{1cm} (12)
of partial “freezing-in” of the geomagnetic field on the propagation of MHD waves in the ionosphere is taking into account.

Though in the neutral component of the ionosphere, the velocities of the order of 1 km s\(^{-1}\) and more are large (supersonic), they are insignificant for MHD waves in the plasma component (\(\sim 10^5\) km s\(^{-1}\)). This is stipulated by the fact that in the ionosphere for the long-period processes the geomagnetic field is “frozen” into the plasma component (Khantadze, 1973) and during the perturbations it passes its perturbation to the neutral component by collision processes. Further in the neutral part, it propagates with the velocity \(V_a = H_0/\sqrt{4\pi \rho} = H_0/\sqrt{4\pi MN_n} = \sqrt{\eta} V_A\), where \(\eta = N/(N_n + N)\) is the ionization degree of the ionospheric medium, \(V_A = H_0/\sqrt{4\pi MN}\) is the velocity of the MHD wave in the plasma component of ionosphere. In the ionospheric \(E\) (70–150 km) and \(F\) (150–600 km) regions \(\eta = N/N_n \sim (10^{-8} \div 10^{-4}) \ll 1\) and, therefore, the value of \(V_a\) is much less than \(V_A\). Consequently, we naturally come to the consideration of slow (in the electrodynamics sense) long-period MHD waves in the ionosphere.

3 Slow MHD waves in the ionosphere

Propagating MHD waves gather information about the magnetosphere, ionosphere and the ground. The ionospheric observations reveal one more class of the electromagnetic perturbations in \(E\) and \(F\)-regions, known as the slow MHD waves (Kamide and Baumjohann, 1993; Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982). These waves are insensitive to spatial inhomogeneities of the Coriolis and Ampere forces and propagate in the ionospheric medium more slowly than the ordinary MHD waves. In natural conditions, these perturbations are revealed as background oscillations. The forced oscillations of this kind are generated by an impulse action on the ionosphere from above, during magnetic storms (Hajkowicz, 1991; Lysak et al., 2009), or from below, as a result of earthquakes, volcanic eruption or artificial explosions (Pokhotelov et al., 1995, 2001; Shafer et al., 1999). In the last case, the perturbations are revealed as solitary vortex structures.

To separate electromagnetic effects of slow MHD waves, we neglect all hydrodynamic forces in the set of momentum Eq. (1). As a result we get:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{1}{4\pi MN_n} \nabla \times H \times H = \frac{1}{\rho c} j \times H_0, \tag{13}
\]

where \(j\) is the current density, and \(\rho = \rho_n + \rho_{pl} \approx \rho_n = MN_n\).

We investigate MHD waves separately for the ionospheric \(E\) and \(F\)-regions. For the \(E\)-region the plasma component behaves like a passive impurity. The neutrals completely drag ions and the “ionospheric” friction between neutrals and ions can be neglected (Kelley, 1989).

Generalized Ohm’s law for the \(E\)-region can be expressed in the following form (Khantadze, 1973; Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982)

\[
\frac{1}{c} j \times H = e N \left( E + \frac{1}{c} V \times H \right). \tag{14}
\]

Using Maxwell’s equation \(\partial H/\partial t = -c \nabla \times E\) and excluding \(E\) with the help of Eq. (14) and Ampere force \(\mathbf{F}_A = j \times H/c\) from Eq. (13), we get an induction equation for the magnetic field:

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \nabla \times V \times H - \frac{N_n}{N} \frac{Mc}{e} \nabla \times \frac{dV}{dt}. \tag{15}
\]

Equation (15) completely coincides with the third equation of the system (1), where the last term appears because of the Hall’s effect and leads to the dispersion of MHD waves when \(\delta = 1\).

Using the Fridman designations, Eq. (15) can be rewritten as:

\[
helm \left( \nabla \times V + \frac{N}{N_n} \frac{e}{Mc} H_0 \right) = 0, \tag{16}
\]

where \(H = H_0 + h \approx H_0\). Operator \(helm\), introduced by Fridman in honour of Helmholtz for any vector field \(a\), has the following form:

\[
helm a = \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} - \nabla \times V \times a + a \nabla \cdot V.
\]

Fridman showed that the equality \(helm a = 0\) means conservation (freezing-in) of both vector lines and intensity of vector tubes of vector \(a\), i.e. when \(helm a = 0\) vector \(a\) is invariant (Fridman, 1934). First found by Khantadze (1973) was the invariant \(\nabla \times V + 2\omega_0 + NeH_0/N_n Mc\) for the ionospheric medium.

From the expression (16) two important consequences follow:

- In the ionospheric \(E\)-region, the condition of freezing-in of the geomagnetic field \(H_0\) is not fulfilled, however, the vector \(\nabla \times V + \eta \omega_j\) (where \(\omega_j = eH_0/Mc\) is the cyclotron frequency of ions) is frozen in the medium. In addition the deviation from freezing-in is determined by the magnitude of neutral vortex \(\nabla \times V\) in the \(E\)-region of ionosphere. In case of the vortex-free motion \((\nabla \times V = 0)\), the geomagnetic field at the altitudes of \(E\)-region will be completely frozen-in (\(helm H_0 = 0\)).

- According to Eq. (16), the geomagnetic field (as the angular velocity of the Earth’s rotation \(\omega_0\)) must generate large-scale vortex \(\Omega = \nabla \times V\) in the \(E\)-region, since at these altitudes \(N\omega_0/N_n\) (as \(2\omega_0\) in the “modified” vortex \(helm (\Omega + 2\omega_0)\)) is of the order of \(\sim 10^{-4}s^{-1}\).

Linearizing Eqs. (13) and (14), and limiting ourselves to the moderate and high latitudes, by means of the traditional method dispersion equation for the plane MHD waves, can
be obtained. However, to clearly demonstrate how the wave equation looks like in this case, we will act differently. Solving Eqs. (14) and linearized Eq. (13) with respect to $E$ and $j$ and assuming that the equality $(j \times \mathbf{H}_0) = 0$ (Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982) is fulfilled, we get:

$$E = -w - i \frac{\omega}{\Omega_i} w \times \tau, \quad \text{and} \quad j = \frac{\rho c^2}{H_0^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \quad (17)$$

where $w = V \times H_0/c$ is the dynamo field, $\omega$ is the frequency of wavy perturbation, $\rho = M N_n$, $\tau = H_0/H_0$, $\Omega_i = \eta \omega_i$ is modified by the ionization degree cyclotron frequency of ions, and $\eta = N/N_n$.

Substituting Eq. (17) into Maxwell’s equation $\nabla \times \nabla \times E = -(4\pi/c^2) \partial j/\partial t$, we obtain the wave equation:

$$\nabla^2 w - \nabla^2 \nabla \times w = i \frac{\omega}{\Omega_i} \nabla^2 \nabla \times w \times \nabla \times w. \quad (18)$$

Here the last term takes into account the Hall’s effect. At $\omega \approx \omega_i$ from Eq. (18) the following dispersion equation is easily obtained:

$$(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 k_x^2)(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 k_z^2) = \frac{\omega^2 k_x^2 k_z^2 V_a^4}{\Omega_i^2}, \quad (19)$$

Equation (19) (in the electrodynamics sense) describes very slow, long-period MHD waves in the ionospheric E-region. For pure plasma ($\eta = 1$) MHD waves become high-frequency and small-scale (Kadomtsev, 1982). From Eq. (19) at almost transversal propagation with respect to $\mathbf{H}_0 \approx H_0 e_z$, when $k_x^2 \ll k_z^2$ and $\omega >> V_a k_z$, for magneto-acoustic waves we get:

$$\omega_{ma}^2 = \frac{V_a^2 k_x^2}{\Omega_i^2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2 V_a^2}{\Omega_i^2}\right), \quad \theta = \frac{k_x}{k_z}. \quad (20)$$

From Eq. (20) it follows that in the E-region the characteristic horizontal wavelength $\lambda_x = (2 \pi \theta V_a) / \Omega_i$ exists, which determines the characteristic “length of dispersion” caused by the Hall’s effect. At $\lambda_x > \lambda_0$ magneto-acoustic wave undergoes weak dispersion, and at $\lambda_x < \lambda_0$ the dispersion is strong. From Eq. (20) it also follows that at a small $k_z$ frequency of the magneto-acoustic $\omega_{ma} = V_a k_z$ increases linearly with $k_z$. At large $k_z$ (when the unit in brackets Eq. (20) can be neglected), wave frequency is subject to the frequency of helicons $\omega_h$:

$$\omega = \omega_h = \frac{c k_x k_z}{4 \pi e N} H_0, \quad (21)$$

In ionospheric physics, they are known as “atmospheric whistlers”. As a result, helicons in the E-region are the limiting case of magnetic sound. In helicons only electrons of the ionospheric plasma are oscillating together with the frozen in geomagnetic field lines.

For the second root, taking into account Eq. (19), at $k_x^2 \ll k_z^2$ and $\omega^2 \ll V_a^2 k_z^2$ we get:

$$\omega = \frac{\omega_0^2}{\Omega_i^2\Omega_0^2} \left(V_a^2 k_z^2 \sqrt{\omega_0^2 \Omega_0^2 + \Omega_i^2 V_a^2 k_z^2}\right), \quad (22)$$

where $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_z^2}$, $\theta_h H_0$ is the angle between $k$ and $H_0 e_z$.

Expression (22) describes Alfvén wave with dispersion. At $k_z \rightarrow \infty$ the wave frequency is subject to the characteristic frequency $\omega \sim \Omega_i = \eta \omega_i$ (in the E-region $\Omega_i$ is of the order of $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$). Consequently, waves $\Omega_i$ in the ionosphere are the limiting case of the quasi-transversal very low-frequency Alfvén waves. From Eq. (22) follows also that Alfvén waves in the ionosphere can be very low-frequency when the angle $\theta_h H_0$ is subject to $\pi/2$.

In the F-region (where Hall’s effect is absent), the ionospheric medium becomes strongly dissipative, since in this region of the upper atmosphere ions-neutrals drag does not occur (Kelley, 1989). Wave equation for the F-region has the following form:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \nabla \times w = -i \frac{\omega}{\Omega_i} \nabla^2 \nabla \times w, \quad (23)$$

where $\nu_1 = \eta \nu_{in}$, $\nu_{in}$ is the collision frequency of ions with neutrals (in the F-region $\nu_1 \sim 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$).

At $\omega/\nu_1 \ll 1$, Eq. (23) describes magneto-acoustic and Alfvén waves in the F-region. In the reverse limiting case $\omega/\nu_1 \gg 1$ from Eq. (23) we obtain the diffusion type equation (Khantadze, 1973):

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = D \nabla \times w, \quad (24)$$

where $D = V_a^2 / \nu_1$ is the diffusion coefficient of the medium in the ionospheric F-region. In this case, dynamo field $w$ is damping and the solution takes the form of the temperature wave. Consequently, in the general case of Eq. (23) for the F-region describes the propagation of magneto-acoustic and Alfvén waves with damping.

The expressions (20) and (22) allow us to estimate the periods and velocities at quasi-transversal propagation of the waves under consideration. For example, for the maximum wavelength $\lambda_x = 10^3$ km, $\theta = 10^{-2}$, $\Omega_i = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, from Eqs. (20) and (22), we obtain the approximate expressions for the frequencies of MHD waves in the E-region:

$$\omega_{ma}^2 = \frac{\Omega_i^4}{\Omega_0^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_x}\right)^4, \quad \omega_h^2 = \Omega_i^2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_x^2}{\lambda_0^2}\right), \quad (25)$$

and it follows that $T_{ma} \approx 20$ min, $c_{ma} = 1$ km s$^{-1}$, $T_a \approx 2$ days, $c_a = 10$ m s$^{-1}$ ($c = \omega / k$ is the phase velocity).

Thus, the above-mentioned observed perturbations can be identified with magneto-acoustic waves with frequency
Alfvén type waves, as it is seen from Eq. (25), are very slow and long-period like planetary waves in the ionospheric E-region. This feature of the Alfvén waves (which follows from Eq. (22) at $\theta \approx \pi / 2$) can play an important role in the generation of low-frequency electromagnetic planetary waves in high-latitude ionosphere (Khantadze et al., 1982). Alfvén waves can be very slow and low-frequency in the F-region as well at quasi-transversal propagation. Propagation of slow MHD waves is examined in more detail in (Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982).

4 The influence of geomagnetic field on propagation of AGW in the ionosphere

The importance of gravity waves for the dynamics of the middle atmosphere has been recognized for quite a while (Hines, 1960; Hooke, 1968). As they propagate upward mainly from tropospheric sources, their amplitude grows due to the vertical ambient density gradient (Grigor’ev, 1999). Unless they are absorbed at some critical layer or get deflected by wind or stratification gradients, they eventually become subject to wave breaking (Fritts and Alexander, 2003). The associated momentum and energy deposition is essential for the understanding of the middle atmosphere’s general circulation (Houghton, 1978; Lindzen, 1981; Holton, 1982, 1983; Garcia and Solomon, 1985). Another effect might be the generation of turbulence by gravity wave breaking, thus, leading to frictional heating rates of relevance for the mesosphere energy balance (Lübken, 1997; Becker and Schmitz, 2002; Müllermann et al., 2003).

In the partially ionized ionospheric E-layer, the electromagnetic inertia-gravity (IG) waves were investigated by Kaladze et al. (2007). It was shown that the free energy necessary for linear instability of electromagnetic IG waves arises from the field-aligned current. For the ionospheric generalization of tropospheric AGW the propagation of so-called “magnetized acoustic-gravity waves” (MAGW) in the conductive ionosphere was considered by Kaladze et al. (2008). Such waves do not significantly perturb the geomagnetic field and are solely excited by the ionospheric dynamo electric field. The incorporation of the Coriolis force leads to the coupling of AGW with the inertial waves. This results in the appearance of inertia-acoustic-gravity (IAG) waves and the additional cut-off frequency at $2\omega_0$ appears. It was shown that, for the ionospheric E-layer, the influence of the electromagnetic force is essential (the Hall conductivity predominates) along with the Coriolis force and they provide opposite effects. As to the ionospheric F-layer, the action of the Ampere force is defined by the Pedersen conductivity which leads to the damping of MAGW.

For the influential investigation of the geomagnetic field on the propagation of AGW in the ionosphere, we will follow the classical fundamental paper by Monin-Obukhov (1958), which completely describes all properties of AGW in the troposphere. In this paper, the role of the Earth’s rotation in propagation of acoustic-gravity waves was first shown by means of the Coriolis parameter $\ell = 2\omega_0 \cos \theta$, where $\theta = \pi / 2 - \chi$ is the co-latitude of location. Shown below, will be another fundamental parameter of the Earth; a vertical component of the geomagnetic field $H_0 = -2H_E \cos \theta$, ($H_E$ is the value of the geomagnetic field at the equator), that significantly affects the nature of propagation of acoustic-gravity waves in the ionosphere.

We neglect, for simplicity, the action of the Coriolis force and linearize the system (1) for the ionospheric F-region ($\delta = 0$) with respect to the equilibrium state. We restrict ourselves by moderate and high latitudes ($H_\theta \approx H_0 e_z$), neglecting the action of the ordinary Obukhov parameter $L_0 = c_s / \ell$. Furthermore, we introduce the new variables for the fluxes $\bar{\rho} V_x$, $\bar{\rho} V_y$, and components of the induced magnetic field $h_x, h_y$:

$$\begin{align*}
\partial \psi &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \\
\partial \psi &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \\
\partial \phi &= \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial y}, \\
\partial \psi &= \frac{\partial \phi_a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial x}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}$$

requiring regularity of the functions $\psi, \psi_a, \varphi, \varphi_a$ at infinity. Then for small- and medium-scale perturbations, we easily obtain the generalized set of Monin-Obukhov wave equations for the ionospheric F-region (Monin and Obukhov, 1958):

$$\begin{align*}
\partial \psi &= \frac{H_0}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \psi_a}{\partial z}, \\
\partial \varphi &= -P - \frac{H_0}{4\pi} h_z, \\
\partial P &= -c_s^2 \partial \varphi - \beta \psi - c_s^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \\
\partial \rho &= -\Delta \varphi + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}, \\
\partial f &= -\left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \rho g \right), \\
\partial \psi_a &= \frac{H_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \\
\partial \phi_a &= \frac{H_0}{\rho} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}.
\end{align*}$$

Here $c_s^2 = \omega^2 \rho / \rho$ is the squared speed of sound, $\rho$ — density of medium in the unperturbed state depending only on the height $z$ and connecting with unperturbed pressure by the static equation $\partial P / \partial z = -\rho g$, $f = \rho V_x$ is the vertical airflow, $\beta = (\omega - 1) g$ — parameter of thermal stability of the atmosphere, $\Delta = \partial^2 / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 / \partial y^2$ — two-dimensional Laplace operator. In the absence of the magnetic field, the system (28) coincides with the Monin-Obukhov (1958) set of equations.

Equations for $\psi$ and $\psi_a$ create the closed system and we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial V_a^2}{\partial z} = 0. \tag{28}$$
Here \( V_a^2 = H_0^2 / 4\pi \rho \) is the squared Alfvén velocity of MHD waves. Assuming the sound and Alfvén velocities are constant, we get the Alfvén wave equation
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - V_a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = 0 .
\]
(29)

This equation, as Eq. (3), describes the propagation of transverse Alfvén waves in the ionosphere (Kadomtsev, 1982) with the phase velocity corresponding to the velocity of the slow MHD wave \( V_a \). In the ionosphere, Alfvén waves always propagate only along force lines of the geomagnetic field \( H_0 \). As it was noted above, the thermodynamic parameters of medium, pressure, density and temperature are not perturbed when such transverse electromagnetic waves are propagating in the ionosphere (Khantadze, 1973). The source of such waves is the tension of field lines of the geomagnetic field \( H_0 \).

At the variable \( V_a^2 \), when \( \bar{\rho} = \rho_0 \exp(-z/H) \) Eq. (29) can be solved through the special functions. According to Ya-glom (1953), we may get rid of the height dependence of the parameters of the system (28) if we average all variables over height in the semi-space \((0, \infty)\). But taking into account that our paper has the review character, we confine ourselves with the trough approximation of the “frozen in” coefficients in the system (28).

In this case the variables \( \varphi \) and \( \bar{\rho} V_x \), we get the wave equations
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} = (c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Delta \varphi + V_a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} + \beta f + c_s^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} ,
\]
(30)
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \beta f + c_s^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + c_s^2 \Delta \varphi \right) + g \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \Delta \varphi \right) .
\]
(31)

We search the solutions of Eqs. (31) and (32) in the form of harmonic waves with the amplitudes depending on \( z \), i.e.
\[
\varphi(x, y, z, t) = \Phi(z) \exp[i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)],
\]
\[
f(x, y, z, t) = X(z) \exp[i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)],
\]
(32)

where \( k_x, k_y \) are arbitrary horizontal wavenumbers, and \( \omega \) are frequencies to be determined. We get the following equations for amplitudes \( \Phi \) and \( X \):
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2)(c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Phi = \beta X + c_s^2 \frac{dX}{dz} ,
\]
(33)
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2) \left( c_s^2 \frac{d\Phi}{dz} + g \Phi \right) = (\beta g - c_s^2 \omega^2) .
\]
(34)

Here \( k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \), \( l_H^2 = k^2 V_a^2 - V_a^2 d^2/dz^2 \) is the differential operator. Equations (34) and (35) exactly coincide with the Monin-Obukhov equations (1958) if, instead of the operator \( l_H \), the Coriolis parameter \( l = 2\omega_0 \) will be substituted. Equations (34) and (35) have nontrivial partial solutions, where \( X = 0 \). Indeed, in this case
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2)(c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Phi = 0 ,
\]
(35)

Here \( V_a^2 = H_0^2 / 4\pi \rho \) is the squared Alfvén velocity of MHD waves. Assuming the sound and Alfvén velocities are constant, we get the Alfvén wave equation
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - V_a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} = 0 .
\]
(29)

This equation, as Eq. (3), describes the propagation of transverse Alfvén waves in the ionosphere (Kadomtsev, 1982) with the phase velocity corresponding to the velocity of the slow MHD wave \( V_a \). In the ionosphere, Alfvén waves always propagate only along force lines of the geomagnetic field \( H_0 \). As it was noted above, the thermodynamic parameters of medium, pressure, density and temperature are not perturbed when such transverse electromagnetic waves are propagating in the ionosphere (Khantadze, 1973). The source of such waves is the tension of field lines of the geomagnetic field \( H_0 \).

At the variable \( V_a^2 \), when \( \bar{\rho} = \rho_0 \exp(-z/H) \) Eq. (29) can be solved through the special functions. According to Ya-glom (1953), we may get rid of the height dependence of the parameters of the system (28) if we average all variables over height in the semi-space \((0, \infty)\). But taking into account that our paper has the review character, we confine ourselves with the trough approximation of the “frozen in” coefficients in the system (28).

In this case the variables \( \varphi \) and \( \bar{\rho} V_x \), we get the wave equations
\[
\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} = (c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Delta \varphi + V_a^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z^2} + \beta f + c_s^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} ,
\]
(30)
\[
\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \beta f + c_s^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + c_s^2 \Delta \varphi \right) + g \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \Delta \varphi \right) .
\]
(31)

We search the solutions of Eqs. (31) and (32) in the form of harmonic waves with the amplitudes depending on \( z \), i.e.
\[
\varphi(x, y, z, t) = \Phi(z) \exp[i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)],
\]
\[
f(x, y, z, t) = X(z) \exp[i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)],
\]
(32)

where \( k_x, k_y \) are arbitrary horizontal wavenumbers, and \( \omega \) are frequencies to be determined. We get the following equations for amplitudes \( \Phi(z) \) and \( X(z) \):
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2)(c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Phi = \beta X + c_s^2 \frac{dX}{dz} ,
\]
(33)
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2) \left( c_s^2 \frac{d\Phi}{dz} + g \Phi \right) = (\beta g - c_s^2 \omega^2) .
\]
(34)

Here \( k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \), \( l_H^2 = k^2 V_a^2 - V_a^2 d^2/dz^2 \) is the differential operator. Equations (34) and (35) exactly coincide with the Monin-Obukhov equations (1958) if, instead of the operator \( l_H \), the Coriolis parameter \( l = 2\omega_0 \) will be substituted. Equations (34) and (35) have nontrivial partial solutions, where \( X = 0 \). Indeed, in this case
\[
(l_H^2 - \omega^2)(c_s^2 + V_a^2) \Phi = 0 ,
\]
(35)
where \( L_H = c_s/\omega_H = c_s/kV_a \) is the characteristic scale of the horizontal motions of the compressible medium in the magnetic field of the Earth (analogue of the Obukhov scale \( L_0 = c_s/\epsilon \), \( \epsilon = \pi g H \), \( H \) is the height of the homogeneous atmosphere.

In the absence of the magnetic field \( V_a = H_0c_s/\sqrt{4\pi \rho} \rightarrow 0, L_H = \infty \) formulae (43) and (44) passes into the classical expressions (7) for AGW (Khantadze, 1973). From the formulae (43) and (44) follows that in the ionospheric F-region geomagnetic field \( H_0 \) increases the height of the homogeneous atmosphere \( H \) by 0.5\( L_H \) and creates an additional dispersion in the gravitational branch. Acoustic branch (Eq. (43)) contains longitudinal magneto-acoustic wave \( k^2(c_s^2 + V_a^2) \), and gravitational branch (Eq. (44)) — transverse Alfvén waves \( V_a^2(k_c^2 + 1/(4H^2)) \). From Eq. (5) follows that gravitational waves in the ionosphere are always more low-frequency (from 13 min up to several hours) than acoustic (up to 13 min). At indifferent stratification of medium (\( \varepsilon = 1 \)), which, under the ionospheric conditions, is well fulfilled in the F-region (Khantadze, 1973; Jacchia, 1977), as it is seen from Eq. (44), under the action of geomagnetic field gravitational branch remains. In the ordinary atmosphere (when \( H_0 = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon \to 1 \)) gravitational frequencies \( \omega_s \), as it was shown above, are completely filtered. Numerous experiments confirm the existence of the gravitational type wave perturbations (Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982; Sharadze, 1991) in the ionospheric F-region.

5 Planetary electromagnetic waves in the ionospheric E- and F-regions

In the ionosphere, besides the MHD perturbations, in any season of a year global background wavy perturbations of electromagnetic nature also regularly exist having different spatial and temporal scales. Large-scale wave structures play an important role in the processes of general energy balance and circulation of the atmosphere and ocean. The large amount of observational data (Cavaliere et al., 1974; Cavaliere, 1976; Manson et al., 1981; Sorokin, 1988; Sharadze et al., 1988, 1989; Bauer et al., 1995; Zhou et al., 1997; Alperovich and Fedorov, 2007) verify the permanent existence of ULF electromagnetic planetary scale perturbations in E- and F-regions of the ionosphere. Among them, the ionospheric ultra-low-frequency (ULF) perturbations of planetary scale (10^3 — 10^4 km), propagating at fixed latitude along the Earth’s parallel, are of special interest to the experimental observations (Burmaka et al., 2006).

As was noted above, the existence of the new branch of large-scale ULF wavy perturbations having an electromagnetic nature in the ionospheric E- and F-regions, was first theoretically predicted in Khantadze (1986, 2001). In the same paper, first the classification of the electromagnetic planetary waves (fast and slow waves) is given.

For the planetary scale waves instead of Euler equation in Eq. (13), it is necessary to use Fridman equation for vorticity, which naturally contains latitudinal gradients and curvature of the geomagnetic lines of force. Together with the induction equation (the third equation of the system 1) they form a closed system for perturbed velocity \( V \) and induced magnetic field \( h \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega \frac{\omega_H}{\alpha \rho} & = \delta,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega_H \) and \( \omega' \) are defined by the formulae:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_H & = \frac{\alpha k_s}{4\pi} \sqrt{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2} = \frac{cH_e}{4\pi eN} \sqrt{1 + 3\sin^2 \theta'} k_s; \\
\omega' & = -\frac{\beta H}{k_s} = -\frac{NeH_e}{N_0 c} \sqrt{1 + 3\sin^2 \theta'} \frac{k_s R}{k_s}; \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( \alpha = c^2/H_0 \approx \approx c/eN \) is the Hall’s parameter, \( \alpha_H = eNc/H_0 \) is Hall’s conductivity in the ionospheric E-region, \( k_s = 2\pi/\lambda \) is the wavenumber, \( \beta_1 = \partial H_0/\partial y, \beta_2 = \partial H_0/\partial y, \partial/\partial y = -R^{-1}\partial/\partial \theta' \), \( H_0 = -H_e \cos \theta', H_y = -H_e \sin \theta', R \) is the Earth’s radius, \( \theta' = \pi/2 - \chi' \) is the magnetic co-latitude, \( H_e = 0.32 \) G is the value of the geomagnetic field at the equator. Neglecting the curvature of the geomagnetic lines of force, magnetic gradients \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) can be determined from Maxwell’s equations: \( \partial H_0/\partial y - \partial H_0/\partial z = 0, \partial H_0/\partial y + \partial H_0/\partial z = 0 \); the x-axis is directed along the parallel west-east, the y-axis from south to north, and z — vertically upwards.

Calculations show that parameters of fast magnetogradi-}

\[
\begin{align*}
\end{align*}
\]
The propagation of fast electromagnetic planetary $c_H$-waves are accompanied by the significant pulsations of the geomagnetic field (20–80 nT). These oscillations in the middle and moderate latitudes were registered during the launching of spacecrafts (Burmaka et al., 2006) and by the world network of ionospheric and magnetic observatories (Alperovich and Fedorov, 2007; Sharadze et al., 1988; Sharadze, 1991). As it is seen from Eq. (47), such oscillations can exist also at higher and lower latitudes $\chi$’ i.e. they have a general-planetary nature. In these waves, like in helicons, only electrons are oscillating while the ions and neutrals are immovable. From Eq. (48), it follows that in the neutral and ion components due to the total drag, $V_i = V_a$, in the ionospheric E-region slow planetary ULF Rossby-type waves are also excited (Tolstoy, 1967; Khantadze, 1967). Parameters of slow waves are within the limits $\lambda \sim (10^3 - 10^4)$ km, $\omega_p/\omega \sim (10^{-4} - 10^{-5})$ s$^{-1}$, $c_p' = \omega_p/\lambda_c \sim (100 - 300)$ km s$^{-1}$. The amplitude variation of the geomagnetic field reaches 1–20 nT. In these waves, ions and neutrals are oscillating while electrons are immovable. These slow, weather-forming waves were discovered by ionospheric observations (Cavaleri et al., 1974; Sharadze and Khantadze, 1979; Sharadze et al., 1989; Sharadze, 1991).

In the ionospheric F-region ($\delta = 0$), taking into account the identical equality $\omega_H \omega_p = -\omega_n$, for the new mode of the eigen-frequency we obtain from Eq. (46):

$$\omega_n = \frac{H_e}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho}} \sqrt{1 + 3\sin^2 \theta'} \frac{R}{R}. \quad (48)$$

In these standing waves, under the action of the force $F_H$, the ionospheric medium is oscillating as a whole. Characteristic values of the wave parameters are changing in the following range $\lambda \sim (10^3 - 10^4)$ km, $\omega_n \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, $c_n = \omega_n/\lambda_c \sim (5 - 45)$ km s$^{-1}$. The amplitude of the geomagnetic pulsations in these electromagnetic planetary waves varies from 10 to several tens of nT. Experimentally these waves are detected at the middle latitudes in the ionospheric F-region (Sharadze et al., 1988; Sorokin, 1988; Sharadze, 1991; Bauer et al., 1995; Fagundes et al., 2005). Maximum parameter values of considered waves are observed at the magnetic equator.

Note that when we neglect the curvature of the lines of force (formulae 47–49), the geomagnetic field will differ from dipole field to within 20%. Observations show (Eleman, 1973) that the deviation of the geomagnetic field from the dipole manifests itself only at a distance of several tens of thousands of kilometres. Therefore, the above-mentioned expressions for planetary waves are only approximate formulae. An attempt to fill this gap was made in the papers (Aburjania et al., 2003, 2004, 2005; Aburjania and Khantadze, 2005; Khantadze et al., 2006).

With the taking into account the curvature of the geomagnetic force in the spherical coordinate system was shown (Khantadze et al., 2006) that there exists an exact solution of fundamental system (45) in the form of magnetogradient zonal planetary waves, $V(\lambda',t)$, $h(\lambda',t)$, $H_{00}(r,\theta')$, $H_{00}(r,\theta')$, $H_{00}(r,\theta') = 0$:

$$c_h = 0.5 \frac{eH_e}{4\pi eN} \sqrt{24 + \sin^2 \theta'}. \quad (49)$$

$$c_p' = -0.5 \frac{N eH_e \sin \theta' + \sqrt{24 + \sin^2 \theta'}}{k^2 R}. \quad (50)$$

$$c_n = 0.5 \frac{H_{10}}{4\pi \rho} \sin \theta' + \sqrt{24 + \sin^2 \theta'}. \quad (51)$$

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the planetary wave. The relation $m = k R \sin \theta' = (2\pi R/\lambda) \sin \theta'$ shows how many waves fit on the magnetic latitude $\chi$' (we assume that the magnetic moment is combined with the Earth’s rotation axis). At $m = 1$ one wavelength fits around the parallel, at $m = 2$ – two, etc. Observations show that in the ionospheric E- and F-regions planetary waves with the zonal wave-numbers $m = 2 \div 10$ (Sorokin and Fedorovich, 1982; Sharadze, 1991) regularly exist.

From the formulae (50–52) follows the important conclusion: geomagnetic field stratifies ionospheric plasma along the direction $\chi'$ as the gravity force stratifies the atmosphere along altitude. The waves move along the parallel with the different phase velocities in both the east and west directions. For example, as it follows from the formula (52), at the equator ($\theta' = \pi/2$), where the phase velocity of the wave reaches maximum value, for the waves, propagating in a west-east direction ($c_n > 0$), we obtain: $c_{n+} = 2c_0$, where $c_0 = (He/\sqrt{4\pi \rho})/kR$. For the waves moving in an east-west direction ($c_n < 0$), we obtain: $c_{n-} = -3c_0$. It should be noted that this important property of magnetogradient waves was predicted by Kobaladze and Khantadze (1989). The physical mechanism of generating these new free oscillations, for example in the F-region, follows from the simplified equations: $i \omega V_\theta = (\beta_1/4\pi \rho) h_\theta$, $i \omega h_\theta = \beta_1 V_\theta$, where $\beta_1 = -(\partial H_{00}/\partial \theta')/R = 2H_e \sin \theta'/R$. Indeed, introducing the transverse displacement of medium particles $\xi_\theta$, $V_\theta = d\xi_\theta/dt$, and the specific quasi-elastic electromagnetic force $f = (\beta_1/4\pi \rho) h_\theta = -(\beta_1^2/4\pi \rho) \xi_\theta$ from this simplified system, we easily obtain the equation of the free oscillations for the linear oscillator $d^2 \xi_\theta/dt^2 + \omega_n^2 \xi_\theta = 0$, where $\omega_0$ is the eigen frequency of the oscillator, $\omega_0^2 = \omega_n^2 = (\beta_1^2/4\pi \rho) = k/\rho$. At the same time from the freezing-in condition $h_r = -\beta_1 \xi_\theta \approx (H_{00}/R) \xi_\theta$ follows that all transverse displacement of neutral particle $\xi_\theta$ in the F-region due to the collisions with plasma particles generates tension in the ionospheric plasma of lines of force of the geomagnetic field $H_{00}$. As a result in the magnetic field $H_{00}$, proportional to $\xi_\theta$, perturbation $h_\theta$ will arise being a cause of excitation of electromagnetic quasi-elastic force $f = (-\beta_1^2/4\pi \rho) \xi_\theta = -(k/\rho) \xi_\theta$. Here the value $k = \beta_1^2/4\pi \rho$. 
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can be called the coefficient of electromagnetic elasticity of the ionospheric medium. Waves (50–52) are discussed in more details in Kobaladze and Khantadze (1989); Petviashvili and Pokhotelov (1992); Khantadze et al. (2006).

Note that the peculiarities of propagation of internal gravity waves and so-called magnetized Rossby waves (MRW) in the ionospheric E-layer was investigated recently (Kaladze, 1999; Kaladze et al., 2004; Kaladze and Horton, 2006; Horton et al., 2008). The term MRW was introduced by Kaladze (1999) for the ionospheric generalization of tropospheric Rossby waves in a rotating atmosphere by the spatially inhomogeneous geomagnetic field. The MRW belong to the planetary Rossby waves in a rotating atmosphere by the spatially inhomogeneous geomagnetic field. The MRW belong to the ULF range \((10^{-5} \, \text{–} \, 10^{-4}) \, \text{s}^{-1}\), with the wavelength of the order 1000 km and longer, and the phase velocity is the velocity of the local winds, i.e. \(\sim (1-100) \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-1}\). MRW do not significantly perturb the geomagnetic field. For the typical ionization fraction in the E-layer, the Ampere force is comparable to the Coriolis force, both having a spatial inhomogeneity scale of the Earth’s radius. Thus, they are induced by the latitudinal inhomogeneity both of the Earth’s angular velocity and of the geomagnetic field. The Ampere force opposes the Coriolis force vorticity and, therefore, partial or full compensation of the Coriolis deviation by the magnetic deviation is possible. Correspondingly, the propagation phase velocity of the linear waves also decreases. The MRW are excited solely by the ionospheric dynamo electric field when the Hall effect, due to the interaction with the ionized ionospheric component in the E-layer, is induced.

The influence of Raleigh friction on the damping rate of the planetary electromagnetic waves in the ionospheric E-layer was considered in papers by Kaladze et al. (2003) and Kaladze (2004). The nature of the three-dimensional planetary electromagnetic waves propagating in the Earth’s ionosphere was investigated by Khantadze et al. (2004, 2009) and Khantadze and Jandieri (2009). Spherical geometry of the planetary electromagnetic waves in the Earth’s ionosphere was investigated by Khantadze et al. (2008).

### 6 Conclusions

To summarize, it can be concluded that, in the MHD approximation (with dissipation and temperature stratification taken into account), the ionosphere as a cavity is described by a set of differential equations of the eighth order in time. The system of Eq. (1) gives six scalar equations for the velocity \(V\), and the magnetic field \(H\). The density \(\rho\) and pressure \(P\) are described by two scalar equations: the continuity equation and the energy equation for polytropic processes. Consequently, a slightly perturbed ionosphere has eight eigen-frequencies: the first two frequencies \(\omega_{1,2}\) represent the acoustic branch, containing an ordinary acoustic wave and due to the elasticity of the geomagnetic field lines – magneto-acoustic wave (with its limiting case – helicons (atmospheric whistlers); the second pair of frequencies are the internal gravitational waves \(\omega_{3,4}\); the fifth frequency \(\omega_5\) belongs to the planetary Rossby waves; the third pair of frequencies \(\omega_{6,7}\) represents the slow MHD Alfvén-like waves due to the tension of the geomagnetic field lines (a limiting case of these waves are slow ion cyclotron waves with the frequency \(\eta_0\)); and the eighth eigen-frequency \(\omega_8\), was discovered by Khantadze (1986, 1999, 2001). In the ionospheric E-region, this eighth eigen-frequency is equal to \(\omega_8 = \omega_H\) for fast planetary waves with the velocity \(c_H\) in the electronic plasma component and to \(\omega_8 = \omega_p\) for slow planetary Rossby-like waves in the ion plasma component. In the ionospheric F-region, this eigen-frequency is equal to \(\omega_8 = \omega_n - a\) frequency at which the ionospheric medium with a density of \(\rho_e + \rho_i + \rho_n \approx \rho_n\) oscillates as a single entity and propagates with the velocity \(c_n\) in the form of fast planetary waves. At mid-latitudes, these waves in the ionospheric E-region show up as well-observed, large-scale perturbations, or mid-latitude long-period oscillations (MLOs), and in the F-ionospheric region, they show up as magneto-ionospheric wave perturbations (MIWs). A broad variety of properties of the magneto-gradient planetary waves considered above holds great promise for a more detailed investigation of the large-scale electromagnetic perturbations regularly observed both in a quiet (background oscillations) and a perturbed (induced oscillations) ionosphere in the E- and F-regions.

Thus, all eigen-frequencies of the ionosphere are fully covered when the oscillating system of the three-component ionospheric plasma is described by the system of MHD partial differential equations of the eighth order with respect to time.

We would like to emphasize again that unlike the pure plasma, where \(\eta = N/(N_i + N_e) = 1\), in the weakly-ionized ionosphere magneto-acoustic and Alfvén waves are slow MHD waves (Khantadze, 1971; Khantadze and Sharadze, 1980; Sorokin and Federovich, 1982).

This article is dedicated to the memory of Professor A.G. Khantadze who passed away in November 2009.
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