Boundary layer structure and stability classification validated with CO$_2$ concentrations over the Northern Spanish Plateau
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Abstract. A description of the lower boundary layer is vital to enhance our understanding of dispersion processes. In this paper, Radio Acoustic Sounding System sodar measurements obtained over three years were used to calculate the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and the Monin-Obukhov length. The Brunt-Väisälä frequency enabled investigation of the structure of this layer. At night, several layers were noticeable and the maximum was observed at the first level, 40 m, whereas during the day, it was present at about 320 m. The Monin-Obukhov length was calculated with the four first levels measured, 40–100 m, by an original iterative method and used to establish four stability classes: drainage, extremely stable, stable and unstable. Wind speed and temperature median profiles linked to these classes were also presented. Wind speeds were the lowest, but temperatures were the highest and inversions were intense at night in drainage situations. However, unstable situations were linked to high wind speeds and superadiabatic temperature profiles. Detrended CO$_2$ concentrations were used to determine the goodness of the classification proposed evidencing values which under drainage at night in spring were nearly 28 ppm higher than those corresponding to unstable situations. Finally, atmosphere structure was presented for the proposed stability classes and related with wind speed profiles. Under extremely stable situations, low level jets were coupled to the surface, with median wind speeds below 8 m s$^{-1}$ and cores occasionally at 120 m. However, jets were uncoupled in stable situations, wind speed medians were higher than 11 m s$^{-1}$ and their core heights were around 200 m.
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1 Introduction

Low atmosphere research has gained in interest not only in theoretical terms but also as regards practical considerations, such as pollution dispersion, which depends on turbulence and is conditioned by thermal stratification of the atmosphere (Elansky et al., 2007), particularly in undisturbed synoptic conditions (Pernigotti et al., 2007). In order to describe such complex processes, detailed measurements are required. However, devices tend to investigate only a few tens of metres with the region above the surface layer remaining less explored.

Since the early 1970s, the use of sodars has become widespread (Kallistratova and Coulter, 2004). They are considered useful tools to investigate lower troposphere behaviour and structure (Emeis and Schäfer, 2006; Emeis et al., 2007), turbulence and dispersion variables (French, 2002; Engelbart et al., 2007; Gariazzo et al., 2007) and also pollutant transport (Augustin et al., 2006). In this paper a Radio Acoustic Sounding System (RASS) sodar was used to investigate the less known part of the low atmosphere, which extends beyond the heights of usual meteorological towers.

The main objective was to analyse RASS sodar ability to detect boundary layer structure and calculate turbulence variables. To achieve this purpose, temperature and wind speed profiles provided by this device were used to obtain two indirect parameters: the Brunt-Väisälä frequency ($BV$) and the Monin-Obukhov length ($L$).

$BV$ was calculated in the whole region investigated by the device as an indicator of the strength of stable stratification. This variable is a filter of unstable stratification and has rarely been considered. Occasionally, it has been used as an intermediate quantity to calculate boundary-layer height (Cohen et al., 2007; Zilitinkevich et al., 2007) or to assess the resistance law (Esau and Zilitinkevich, 2006). Hence, studying it will provide a deeper understanding thereof.
\( L \) was introduced to describe atmospheric turbulence in the surface layer (Foken, 2006), and was thus only calculated in the lower region considered by the device to extend the validity of expressions at heights very close to the surface layer. Advances in the similarity theory were presented by Sorbjan (1987) and the similarity theory was recently successfully revised for the stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer (Zilitinkevich and Esau, 2007). However, certain limits have also recently been reported. For instance, \( L \) has proved inadequate for describing the basic atmospheric boundary layer dynamics under katabatic and drainage flows (Grisogono et al., 2007). Moreover, the standard similarity theory has been seen not to agree with the structure of surface layer turbulence in very slightly unstable conditions (Smedman et al., 2007). In the literature, \( L \) was sometimes obtained from measurements of only two levels (Cvitan, 2006). Other times, it was calculated from the Richardson number (De Bruin et al., 2000) or from fluxes (Ha et al., 2007). However, in this paper, an original iterative method based on wind speed and temperature profiles is presented, using more than two levels and not considering intermediate variables.

This turbulence indicator enabled us to establish a stability classification based on a small number of classes, which were defined from a high number of observations. From these classes, specific wind speed and temperature profiles were calculated in order to associate classes to profiles.

The best means of verifying the goodness of the stability classification proposed was by considering concentrations. In this paper, \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations measured near the surface were used. A detailed analysis of this pollutant involved in the greenhouse effect is beyond the scope of this paper, since it has already been presented (García et al., 2008). However, turbulence in the lower atmosphere described by \( L \) may be associated to concrete \( \text{CO}_2 \) levels. Moreover, this analysis may prove useful to increase the extremely limited number of recent studies that link pollutant concentrations to observations obtained with sodars (Grechko et al., 1993; Kopeykin et al., 1993; Pekour and Kallistratova, 1993; Gariazzo et al., 2005).

A relationship among stability classes and atmospheric stable stratification has been proposed and linked to wind profile in order to describe low level jets. These were usually observed at night, their influence on \( \text{CO}_2 \) having recently been confirmed (Karipot et al., 2006), and several classes of jets have been described in the bibliography (Banta, 2008).

Finally, the link between direct variables measured by the RASS sodar, such as wind speed and temperature profiles, indirect quantities, \( BV \) and \( L \), and \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations makes this paper useful to describe high concentrations as much by direct meteorological variables as by derivative quantities.

2 Experimental description

A three-year measuring period, commencing 1 August 2002 at the Low Atmosphere Research Centre (CIBA), 41°48'49"N, 4°55'59"W, some 30 km NW of Valladolid (Spain), was used. The location is a highly extensive plateau 840 m above m.s.l., with no relief elements, thus ensuring horizontal homogeneity. Non-irrigated crops and grass make up the surrounding vegetation, the roughness length thus being only a few centimetres.

\( \text{CO}_2 \) measurements were carried out at nearly 2 m from surface using a MIR 9000 continuous analyser. Calibration of zero and span values was regularly performed using gas cylinders of ultra pure nitrogen and \( \text{CO}_2 \) AIR LIQUIDE standards of 400 ppm with a precision of 0.8 ppm. All the data were continuously recorded on a DASIBI 8001 datalogger.

Meteorological variables were obtained from a DSDPA.90-24 sodar with RASS built by METEK GmbH. The sodar transmits acoustic pulses of a certain frequency (2200 Hz) into the atmosphere and receives the backscattered signal, whose frequency is shifted according to the wind component parallel to the propagation of the acoustic waves (Doppler Effect). Wind speed is obtained from this frequency shift. Virtual temperature may also be measured with the RASS extension, which transmits a radar signal (1290 MHz) that is reflected at the sound waves produced by the sodar (2900 Hz). The speed of the sound can be determined from the Doppler shift of the reflected signal and the virtual temperature (denoted as temperature throughout this paper) can be inferred from the speed of the sound (Bradley, 2008).

RASS sodar data were continuously acquired, the only noticeable interruptions occurring for about 25 days (10 days in May 2003 and 15 days in June 2003). The minimum height proposed was 40 m and the maximum 500 m, measurements being limited to 20 m levels. The device generated ten-minute wind speed and temperature averages, together with other variables not considered in this paper. Each value was accompanied by its plausibility code, which represents the results of the plausibility test performed on the averaged power spectra, and was used to control data quality. Data were rejected according to standard criteria considered by the manufacturer.

Finally, \( \text{CO}_2 \) and meteorological data were processed as semi-hourly mean values.
3 Theoretical considerations

3.1 $BV$ calculation

This is the natural frequency of internal gravity waves or lee waves and may be calculated from

$$BV = \left(\frac{g}{T} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\Theta$ the potential temperature and $T$ the mean temperature between the two levels considered to calculate the potential temperature gradient. Since only temperatures were available, this gradient was obtained from

$$\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} \approx \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + \Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma$ is the adiabatic lapse rate, which under dry conditions is 0.0098 K m$^{-1}$. More stable stratification is associated with higher values of this frequency, which cannot be defined in unstable conditions. $BV$ is graphically depicted in Fig. 1 for usual ranges of temperature and potential temperature gradient. $BV$ change with temperature is about 8% in the temperature range considered and nearly independent from potential temperature gradient. Conversely, for a fixed temperature, changes in $BV$ are more important (it increases some nine-fold in the potential temperature gradient range considered).

3.2 $L$ calculation

This is an atmospheric stability indicator which may be obtained from

$$L = \frac{T_0 u^2}{k \theta^*},$$

where $u^*$ is the friction velocity (the square root of the kinematic momentum flux), $\theta^*$, the temperature scale (minus the kinematic heat flux divided by the friction velocity), $T_0$, the air temperature and $k$, von Karman’s constant, usually 0.4. Figure 2 presents $L$ as a function of $u^*$ and $\theta^*$ typical values. At lower temperature scale values, $L$ is extremely sensible to the friction velocity. However, $L$ is steadier against the temperature scale at higher values of this variable and lower friction velocity values.

Once wind speed and temperature profiles were known, $L$ was iteratively determined by the similarity theory equations

$$U = \frac{u^*}{k} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right) - \psi_m \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) + \psi_m \left( \frac{z_0}{L} \right) \right],$$

$$\Theta - \Theta_0 = \frac{\theta^*}{k} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{z_0} \right) - \psi_h \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) + \psi_h \left( \frac{z_0}{L} \right) \right],$$

where $U$ and $\Theta$ are wind speed and potential temperature at $z$, $z_0$ is the roughness length, $\Theta_0$, the potential temperature at the roughness length and $\psi_m$, and $\psi_h$ are experimentally determined similarity functions.

Assuming a neutral situation, i.e. logarithmic profiles, and a roughness length equal to 0.04 m, typical for long grass or...
Since $\Theta_0$ was not known, Eq. (5) applied to 40 m was subtracted from Eq. (5) applied to the $z$ level, giving,

$$\Theta - \Theta_{40} = \frac{\theta_0}{k} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z}{40} \right) - \psi_h \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) + \psi_h \left( \frac{40}{L} \right) \right].$$

(6)

Moreover, potential temperature differences on the left side of Eq. (6) were calculated from Eq. (2).

At the first step, wind speed and potential temperature profiles were considered logarithmic. With this assumption, similarity functions vanished and the first $L$ value was calculated from the first friction velocity and temperature scale values obtained by linear regression slopes where $\ln(z)$ was taken as the x-axis. Positive $L$ values are associated to stable atmosphere and negative values to unstable atmosphere.

In this paper, the following similarity functions were used (Arya, 2001):

$$\psi_m = \psi_h = -5 \frac{z}{L}, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{z}{L} \geq 0$$

$$\psi_m = \ln \left( \frac{1 + x^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1 + x}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \tan^{-1} x + \frac{\pi}{2},$$

(7)

for $\frac{z}{L} < 0$

$$\psi_h = 2 \ln \left( \frac{1 + x^2}{2} \right), \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{z}{L} < 0$$

where $x = \left( 1 - \frac{z}{L} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. With these functions, a new $L$ value was obtained by linear regression from Eqs. (4), (6) by considering $\ln(z) - \psi(z/L)$ in the x-axis. Convergence was reached when two consecutive $L$ differed less than 1%.

4 Results

4.1 Boundary layer stratification from the $BV$

$BV$ was calculated from temperature data for consecutive levels and attributed to the lower level. The number of data was considerably greater below 200 m at night (taken from one hour before sunset to one hour after sunrise, following standard stability criteria). When seasonal daily and nightly medians were obtained, a stratified structure appeared particularly in spring and summer, shown in Fig. 3, but also in autumn. From this figure, some remarks regarding $BV$ behaviour with height may be made for both seasons. In general, frequencies lay in a narrow interval, from 0.018 to 0.028 s$^{-1}$, according to values considered by Hyun et al. (2005), and stratification was more complex at night than during the day. During the night, the higher $BV$ values were reached at the lowest level, 40 m, and a rapid decrease with height was noticeable up to around 100 m, where frequency was slightly above 0.020 s$^{-1}$. From this level, frequency increased with height up to a maximum at 240 m in spring or 220 m in summer (both 0.023 s$^{-1}$). Frequency then decreased with height until nearly 340 m, where the value was about 0.020 s$^{-1}$ again. From this level, frequency remained almost constant with height. Consequently, a first layer was observed until about 100 m, a second until about 340 m and finally an upper third layer. Stability was highest at the lowest level, although there was another extremely stable layer at intermediate levels, around 200 m. During the day only two regions may be considered. With the exception of the first level, where the frequency was slightly above 0.022 s$^{-1}$, the trend was increasing with height from about 0.021 s$^{-1}$ to a maximum at 320 m in spring (0.026 s$^{-1}$) or 340 m in summer (0.027 s$^{-1}$), at a similar rate (2 $\times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$). Frequencies at both maxima were the closest values to frequencies at 40 m during the night. Above these maxima, frequency decreased with height, more quickly in spring (4 $\times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$) than in summer (3 $\times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$). To sum up, during the day and with positive potential temperature gradients, stability was highest at about 300 m.

4.2 Stability classification

Equations (4), (6) were used with profiles from 40 to 100 m. This level was selected in order to retain surface layer
properties and to obtain sufficient data for regressions. However, two special situations had to be considered. Firstly, slopes from Eq. (4) were sometimes negative, these profiles being described as drainage. Secondly, the convergence criterion provided positive $L$ values without physical sense ($L<1\text{ m}$), these situations being referred to as extremely stable. With these assumptions, a stability classification based on four classes was proposed with the following distribution of observations: about 13% of profiles analysed corresponded to drainage, 34% to extremely stable situations, 27% to other stable situations and 21% to unstable situations. The remaining profiles were discarded for reasons such as the low number of data (lower than 3) or high number of iterations (above 200). Table 1 shows frequencies observed for each class and their first and third $L$ quartiles. This distribution appeared correct since stability is the usual characteristic of the low atmosphere. Distribution of the profiles used is presented in Fig. 4 where the frequency contrast was sharper during the night. Higher frequencies in this period were associated to extremely stable and stable situations, evidencing a seasonal pattern with lower values in spring and summer. However, both seasons showed the highest unstable frequencies during the day.

Since the calculation method was forward for stable and unstable situations, seasonal medians of $L$, $u_*$ and $\theta_*$ during day and night were obtained and presented in Fig. 5 to provide information on their quantity, yearly evolution and contrast amongst them. Yearly evolution was extremely soft, since the yearly pattern generally presented slightly lower values in spring and summer. $L$ was nearly 500 m in stable situations and showed a very slight contrast between day and night, 60 m, with lower values in the night, when stability was greater. For unstable situations, $L$ was about $-175\text{ m}$ during the day and 1200 m lower at night, revealing that this variable was less unstable in these conditions. Moreover, values for stable situations might be considered moderate since they lay between those more extreme for unstable situations (considered without sign). $u_*$ was placed between 0.4 and 1 m s$^{-1}$, proving particularly low during the day for stable situations in spring and summer. The only exception was for unstable situations during the night, when $u_*$ was considerably higher, about 1.6 m s$^{-1}$ giving as a result the important values of $L$. Although flux analysis is outside the scope of this paper, these $u_*$ high values revealed intense momentum fluxes. $\theta_*$ evidenced lower values for stable situations, 0.08 K on average, than for unstable situations, $-0.30\text{ K}$, when its value was noticeable during the day, $-0.45\text{ K}$, implying important heat fluxes. These highly negative values during the day were linked to the less negative values of $L$.

In order to gain an insight into the classification suggested, a relationship with profiles was established by means of wind speed and temperature medians, which were calculated from 40 to 100 m for the four stability classes proposed and

---

### Table 1. Frequency of observations with first and third $L$ quartiles for each class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$L$ (m)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First quartile</td>
<td>Third quartile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>18 058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely stable</td>
<td>161.84</td>
<td>1299.49</td>
<td>14 044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>$-1436.50$</td>
<td>$-64.16$</td>
<td>11 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Seasonal distribution of profiles used.
Figure 5. Seasonal medians of $L$, $u^*$, and $\theta^*$ calculated during the day and night for stable and unstable situations. Bars show the interquartile range.

Fig. 5. Seasonal medians of $L$, $u^*$, and $\theta^*$ calculated during the day and night for stable and unstable situations. Bars show the interquartile range.

presented in Fig. 6, which we will shortly comment on. The same scale was selected for all the plots in order to compare them, although some details, such as change with height, may be obscured.

Drainage corresponded to very low winds (below 4.1 m s$^{-1}$) decreasing with height, especially at night (1.4 m s$^{-1}$ until 100 m). Temperatures were highest, around 11.4°C during the night and 16.6°C during the day, and temperature profiles were stable, mainly at night, when a 1°C inversion was observed, whereas during the day temperature decreased with height.

Extremely stable situations considered low wind speed, but increasing with height (1.8 m s$^{-1}$ at night). Temperatures were moderate, 10.2°C at night and 12.5°C during the day. A noticeable inversion was observed at night (1.1°C), whereas temperature was nearly constant with height during the day. Frequencies observed from Fig. 4 point to these profiles being a specific local feature during the day.

Stable situations were associated to the highest winds, slightly above 6 m s$^{-1}$ at 40 m, with a noticeable increasing with height, 3.8 m s$^{-1}$ at night, but enveloped by the lowest temperatures, 9.3°C at night and 11.3°C during the day, which were nearly constant with height.

Finally, unstable situations evidenced similar wind speed profiles to stable situations during the night and flatter during the day, although temperature profiles were unstable, with temperature decreasing about 1°C, i.e. considerably greater than the adiabatic lapse rate. The contrast in temperatures during day and night was the highest, 6°C, since temperatures during the night were the second lowest, 9.4°C, and during the day the second highest, 15.4°C. Thermal stratification favoured vertical movements, explaining the intense momentum fluxes during the night when the wind gradient was high, whereas heat flux was noticeable during the day, when the wind speed gradient was low.

From this description several groupings may be established:

- As regards wind speed, two kinds may be considered. On the one hand, drainage and extremely stable situations, which evidenced a low wind speed, although a different slope in its profile, but similar temperature profiles. On the other hand, stable and unstable situations displayed a higher wind speed with similar wind profiles, but different temperature profiles.

- As regards wind profile slope, drainage must be considered separately from the other kinds where stability of temperature profiles decreased from extremely stable to unstable situations.

- As regards temperature profile stability, unstable situations must be separated from the remaining kinds where temperature profiles corresponded to stable stratification.
Figure 6. Wind speed and temperature median profiles for the four stability classes proposed during the day and night. Bars show the interquartile range.

4.3 CO₂ concentrations

CO₂ concentrations evidenced a 379.5 ppm median and were lineally fitted, yielding an increase of 8 ppm over the whole measuring period. However, for our analysis purposes, they were detrended and their daily evolution was drawn by means of a box and whisker plot in Fig. 7. Each box represents the interquartile range where the median is represented by a line. Whiskers extend from the 10th to the 90th percentiles and points correspond to the 5th and 95th percentiles. Medians showed a noticeable daily pattern where concentrations increased during the night up to a maximum of 4.29 ppm at 05:30 UTC, whereas they remained nearly constant during the day, between −5 and −6.7 ppm from 10:00 to 18:00 UTC, which may be explained by convective mixing and photosynthesis (Miyaoka et al., 2007). This daily pattern concurs with observations presented in the bibliography (Chen et al., 2007). Different factors, such as plant-soil activities, influenced daily behaviour. Since vegetation is not the main feature at the measurement site and Fig. 7 corresponds to the whole year, when including periods of very low plant-soil activities that were lasting, it seemed that the main factor responsible for this pattern was the boundary layer evolution. Consequently, the development of the
mixed layer was linked to lower concentrations, whereas the establishment of a ground surface temperature inversion determined the low dilution of concentrations. Moreover, inversion became more intense throughout the night and hence the highest values were recorded at the end of the night. 5th percentiles were steady (around $-11$ ppm with a highest discrepancy of $3.93$ ppm), whereas 95th percentiles showed extremely high values, between 30 and 40 ppm, from 00:00 to 06:00 UTC. Distributions were noticeably right skewed during the night and virtually symmetrical during the day, when concentrations lay in a narrow interval, particularly from 13:00 to 15:30 UTC, this interval being around 14 ppm for 90% of observations.

4.4 Relationship between stability classes and CO$_2$ concentrations

CO$_2$ medians were calculated for the different stability situations and represented in Fig. 8. With regard to daily evolution, a very soft cycle was observed for unstable and stable situations, whereas a sharp contrast between day and night appeared in extremely stable and drainage situations. When only the day is considered, concentrations were very similar for all stability situations in each season. However, a seasonal evolution was observed, with the highest concentrations in winter, $-3.40$ ppm, and the lowest in summer, $-8.39$ ppm. As regards only night, great differences were observed. Under drainage conditions, CO$_2$ concentrations were considerably higher as compared to the other stability classes followed by extremely stable situations. In order to quantify these concentrations, nighttime medians were

Fig. 7. Box plot showing the daily cycle of detrended CO$_2$ concentrations (from 1 August 2002 to 31 July 2005).

Fig. 8. Half-hourly medians of CO$_2$ concentrations calculated for the stability classes proposed during the four seasons of the year (from 1 August 2002 to 31 July 2005). Interquartile range was about 5 ppm during the day and similar to the higher concentrations during the night.
calculated and presented in Table 2. For all the stability categories, higher CO$_2$ values corresponded to spring, especially in drainage and extremely stable conditions, and lower values to summer, mainly in stable and unstable situations. This behaviour seemed to be associated to the vegetation cycle. It is worth highlighting the highest median, 26.11 ppm, reached for drainage in spring, nearly 28 ppm higher than the median concentration in unstable conditions. As a final result, the main feature of the proposed stability classification was the ability to isolate high CO$_2$ concentrations and establish two groups of concentrations, high and extremely high concentrations, in situations with very low dilution.

### 4.5 Boundary layer structure

A relationship between $BV$ and wind profiles based on stability classes was considered. Firstly, Table 3 presents $BV$ medians for 40 m and from 100 m to 480 m. This interval was chosen from the $BV$ change observed at 100 m, since a fast decreasing with height was recorded below this level, whereas a nearly constant value was obtained above it. This table shows that drainage conditions were described by the highest $BV$ at 40 m, around 0.039 s$^{-1}$, but the lowest $BV$ above 100 m, around 0.020 s$^{-1}$, whereas stable conditions displayed the opposite behaviour, the lowest $BV$ values at 40 m, around 0.021 s$^{-1}$, and the highest $BV$ above 100 m, around 0.022 s$^{-1}$. Extremely stable conditions evidenced frequencies between both cases.

Wind speed medians at 40 m for stable conditions were previously presented in Fig. 6, although when median wind speed profiles above 100 m were observed once median $BV$ profiles were previously calculated, extremely stable situations were associated to low level jets with cores near enough the surface for them to be assumed coupled to the surface (Mathieu et al., 2005) and wind speeds lower than 8 m s$^{-1}$. In particular, a well defined low level jet was observed in summer, with a wind speed of 6.6 m s$^{-1}$ in the core at 120 m. However, the jets presented in Fig. 9 were quite different,
Drainage was associated to very high frequencies. Stable situations were characterised by the lowest frequencies. Unstable situations were associated to high wind speed, temperature profiles and \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations. Extremely stable situations were linked to intermediate frequencies, low wind speed, moderate temperatures in the lower atmosphere, low level jets coupled to surface, a 1.1°C strong inversion below 100 m and the second highest concentrations, 11.73 ppm in spring. In this case, the layer where dilution occurred was consequently thicker than the layer associated to drainage.

5 Conclusions

The boundary layer structure was analysed and a stability classification was established by means of \( BV \) and \( L \) calculated with three years of RASS sodar and \( \text{CO}_2 \) observations at a rural site.

\( BV \) revealed a stratified structure of the boundary layer, especially in spring and summer. During the night, a highly stable layer was observed near the ground, where frequencies were near 0.028 s\(^{-1}\), although another stable layer was also seen from 100 to 340 m. During the day, frequencies increased with height, up to around 0.026 s\(^{-1}\) at 320 m.

\( L \) was obtained by an original method involving linear regressions of wind speed and potential temperature differences of the four lowest levels. Four stability classes were considered: drainage, extremely stable, stable \((1 \leq L)\) and unstable \((L < 0)\).

\( \text{CO}_2 \) detrended concentrations were considered, revealing lower concentrations during the day and frequent high concentrations at night.

Stability classes were related during the night to \( BV \), \( L \), wind speed and temperature profiles and \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations, with the result that:

- Drainage was associated to very high frequencies (around 0.039 s\(^{-1}\)) at 40 m, although very low frequencies from 100 m (around 0.020 s\(^{-1}\)), the lowest wind speed at 40 m (below 3.4 m s\(^{-1}\) that decreased with height), the highest temperatures with stable profiles below 100 m and very high \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations, mainly in spring (26.11 ppm). These concentrations were associated to the very low dilution in a thin layer near the surface.

- Extremely stable situations were linked to intermediate frequencies, low wind speed, moderate temperatures in the lower atmosphere, low level jets coupled to surface, a 1.1°C strong inversion below 100 m and the second highest concentrations, 11.73 ppm in spring. In this case, the layer where dilution occurred was consequently thicker than the layer associated to drainage.

- Stable situations were characterised by the lowest frequencies at 40 m (around 0.020 s\(^{-1}\)) and the highest from 100 m (around 0.022 s\(^{-1}\)), the highest wind speed at 40 m (slightly higher than 6 m s\(^{-1}\)) but the lowest temperature (9.3°C), low level jets decoupled from surface with cores of about 12 m s\(^{-1}\) at 200 m below the higher \( BV \) and the second lowest concentrations, −7.25 ppm in summer.

- Unstable situations were associated to high wind speed, superadiabatic temperature profiles below 100 m and the lowest concentrations, −9.37 ppm in summer.
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